

# Kansas Bureau of Investigation



## Crime in Kansas

2012

# Acknowledgements

This Crime in Kansas publication has been prepared by the Incident Based Reporting (IBR) section of the Kansas Bureau of Investigation (KBI). The IBR section is within the Information Services Division (ISD) of the KBI. Persons directly responsible for the creation of this publication are listed below. Through their efforts, the data contained within this report was compiled.

IBR Supervisor:	Janell Zeiler
Field Services:	Sara Foster Donna Bevitt
Research Analyst:	Bill Reid
KIBRS Staff:	Jeff Manthe Kathy Terhune Linda Reed Suzy Winter Shannon Moore
ISD Director	Leslie Moore
KBI Director	Kirk Thompson

We also appreciate the work of all of the police departments, sheriffs' offices, and state agencies who submit incident based data making this publication possible.

# Dedication of this Publication:



## Police Officer

**Jeffrey Glenn Atherly**

Topeka Police Department

End of Watch: Friday, December 16, 2012

Age: 29

Tour: 1 year, 8 months

Badge #: 155

Cause: Gunfire

Incident Date: 12/16/2012

Weapon: Handgun

Suspect: Shot and killed

Police Officer Jeff Atherly was shot and killed while checking on a suspicious vehicle in a grocery store parking lot, on the 1400 block of SW Huntoon Street, shortly after 6:00 pm.

Several minutes after making contact with multiple subjects in the vehicle, one of them opened fire, fatally wounding Officer Atherly and Corporal David Gogian. A third officer on the scene was not wounded. The subject who opened fire fled in the vehicle. He was located in a home on Third Street where he barricaded himself for several hours. He was eventually shot and killed after exiting the home while carrying a firearm.

Officer Atherly had served with the Topeka Police Department for just under two years. He is survived by his two children.



## Corporal

**David Scott Gogian**

Topeka Police Department

End of Watch: Friday, December 16, 2012

Age: 50

Tour: 8 years, 3 months

Badge #: 408

Cause: Gunfire

Incident Date: 12/16/2012

Weapon: Handgun

Suspect: Shot and killed

Corporal David Gogian was shot and killed while checking on a suspicious vehicle in a grocery store parking lot, on the 1400 block of SW Huntoon Street, shortly after 6:00 pm.

Several minutes after making contact the multiple subjects in the vehicle, one of them opened fire, fatally wounding Corporal Gogian and Officer Jeff Atherly. A third officer on the scene was not wounded. The subject who opened fire fled in the vehicle. He was located in a home on Third Street where he barricaded himself for several hours. He was eventually shot and killed after exiting the home while carrying a firearm.

Corproal Gogian was a U.S. military veteran and had served with the Topeka Police Department for eight years. He is survived by his wife and four children.

# Use of Criminal Justice Data

The following should be considered when using the data contained in this publication:

- The data contained herein is from agencies that submit incident based data to the Kansas Bureau of Investigation (KBI). It does not include some of the larger agencies in the state that only submit summary data.
- This data should be viewed as a snapshot of crime in Kansas. These numbers constantly change as some crimes are not reported until years later. The data contained in this publication reflects reports in our system on 10/1/2013.
- Due to staffing and budgetary constraints, November and December data for 2009 is incomplete. Most violent, sexual and domestic violence crimes were entered, but many property crimes were not. This may account for a decline in some crimes at the end of 2009.
- Person crimes (murder, rape, aggravated assault) are scored as one offense for each victim. Property crimes (burglary, larceny, robbery and arsons) are scored as one offense for each incident.
- When discussing arrest data there is a difference between persons arrested and incidents cleared by an arrest. For clearance purposes, only one arrest is counted for each incident.
- Property value data reported with burglary offenses are also included in data reported for theft/larceny offenses.
- When more than one type of theft occur within an incident, the type which incurred the highest dollar loss is reported and all property stolen included.

# Table of Contents

<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Dedication of Publication.</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Use of Criminal Justice Data..</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>History of Kansas Incident Based Reporting.</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Index Crimes Summary</b>	<b>9</b>
Violent Crimes Index Trend 2004-2012	10
Property Crimes Index Trend 2004-2012	10
Summary of Index Crimes Facts	11
Total Index Crimes Reported 2004-2012.	13
Total Violent Index Crimes Reported 2004-2012	13
Total Homicides Reported 2004-2012	14
Total Forcible Rapes Reported 2004-2012	14
Total Robberies Reported 2004-2012	15
Total Aggravated Assault/Batteries Reported 2004-2012	15
Total Non-Violent Index Crimes Reported 2004-2012.	16
Total Burglaries Reported 2004-2012	16
Total Larceny Crimes Reported 2004-2012	17
Total Motor Vehicle Thefts Reported 2004-2012	17
Total Arsons Reported 2004-2012	18
Value of Property Stolen and Recovered 2004-2012	18
Classification of Index Crimes	19
<b>Index Crimes Details.</b>	<b>20</b>
Summary Analysis of Index Crimes.	21
Index Crimes: Crime Clocks	23
<b>Murder/Voluntary Manslaughter.</b>	<b>24</b>
Murder Offenses By Month	25
Murder Offenses By Day of the Week	25
Murder Offenses By Time of Day	26
Murder Victims: Age, Sex, Race	27
Murder Suspects: Age, Sex, Race	28
Murder Offenses: Circumstances	29
Murder Offenses: Weapons	29
Murder Offenses: Relationship of Victim to Suspect	30
Murder Offenses: Premise Location.	31
<b>Forcible Rape</b>	<b>32</b>
Rape Offenses By Month	33
Rape Offenses By Day of the Week	33
Rape Offenses By Time of Day	34
Rape Victims: Age, Sex, Race	35
Rape Suspects: Age, Sex, Race.	36
Murder Offenses: Weapons	37

# Table of Contents

Rape Offenses: Relationship of Victim to Suspect . . . . .	38
Rape Offenses: Premise Location . . . . .	39
<b>Robbery . . . . .</b>	<b>40</b>
Robbery Offenses By Month . . . . .	41
Robbery Offenses By Day of the Week . . . . .	41
Robbery Offenses By Time of Day . . . . .	42
Robbery Victims: Age, Sex, Race . . . . .	43
Robbery Suspects: Age, Sex, Race . . . . .	44
Robbery Offenses: Weapons . . . . .	45
Robbery Offenses: Premise Location . . . . .	46
Robbery Offenses: Property Loss . . . . .	47
<b>Aggravated Assault/Battery . . . . .</b>	<b>48</b>
Aggravated Assault/Battery Offenses By Month . . . . .	49
Aggravated Assault/Battery Offenses By Day of the Week . . . . .	49
Aggravated Assault/Battery Offenses By Time of Day . . . . .	50
Aggravated Assault/Battery Victims: Age, Sex, Race . . . . .	51
Aggravated Assault/Battery Suspects: Age, Sex, Race. . . . .	52
Aggravated Assault/Battery: Circumstances . . . . .	53
Aggravated Assault/Battery: Weapons . . . . .	54
Aggravated Assault/Battery: Relationship of Victim to Suspect . . . . .	55
Aggravated Assault/Battery: Premise Location . . . . .	56
<b>Burglary . . . . .</b>	<b>57</b>
Burglary Offenses By Month . . . . .	58
Burglary Offenses By Day of the Week . . . . .	58
Burglary Offenses By Time of Day . . . . .	59
Burglary Victims: Age, Sex, Race . . . . .	60
Burglary Suspects: Age, Sex, Race . . . . .	61
Burglary Offenses: Premise Location . . . . .	62
Burglary Offenses: Property Loss . . . . .	63
Burglary Offenses: Property Loss Trends . . . . .	64
<b>Larceny/Theft . . . . .</b>	<b>65</b>
Larceny/Theft Offenses By Month . . . . .	66
Larceny/Theft Offenses By Day of the Week . . . . .	66
Larceny/Theft Offenses By Time of Day . . . . .	67
Larceny/Theft Offenses By Theft Type . . . . .	68
Larceny/Theft Victims: Age, Sex, Race . . . . .	69
Larceny/Theft Suspects: Age, Sex, Race. . . . .	70
Larceny/Theft Offenses: Premise Location . . . . .	71
Larceny/Theft Offenses: Property Loss . . . . .	72
Larceny/Theft Offenses: Property Loss Trends . . . . .	73

# Table of Contents

<b>Motor Vehicle Theft . . . . .</b>	<b>74</b>
Motor Vehicle Theft Offenses By Month . . . . .	75
Motor Vehicle Theft Offenses By Day of the Week . . . . .	75
Motor Vehicle Theft Offenses By Time of Day . . . . .	76
Motor Vehicle Theft By Type of Vehicle . . . . .	77
Motor Vehicle Theft Victims: Age, Sex, Race . . . . .	78
Motor Vehicle Theft Suspects: Age, Sex, Race . . . . .	79
Motor Vehicle Theft Offenses: Premise Location . . . . .	80
Motor Vehicle Theft Offenses: Property Loss . . . . .	81
<b>Arson . . . . .</b>	<b>82</b>
Arson Offenses By Month . . . . .	83
Arson Offenses By Day of the Week . . . . .	83
Arson Offenses By Time of Day . . . . .	84
Arson Offenses By Structure Type . . . . .	85
Arson Victims: Age, Sex, Race. . . . .	86
Arson Suspects: Age, Sex, Race . . . . .	87
Arson Offenses: Premise Location . . . . .	88
Arson Offenses: Property Loss . . . . .	89
<b>Drug Offenses . . . . .</b>	<b>90</b>
Drug Offenses By Month . . . . .	91
Drug Offenses By Day of the Week . . . . .	91
Drug Offenses By Time of Day . . . . .	92
Drug Offenses By Drug Type . . . . .	93
Drug Suspects: Age, Sex, Race . . . . .	94
Drug Offenses: Premise Location . . . . .	95
<b>Number of Sworn Law Enforcement Officers . . . . .</b>	<b>96</b>

# History of Kansas Incident Based Reporting

The collection of crime data in Kansas began in the mid-1970's after legislation was passed (Kansas State Statutes 21-2501a and 21-2504) authorizing the Attorney General to collect information necessary to determine the true condition of crime in Kansas. The Attorney General assigned the Kansas Bureau of Investigation (KBI) to establish a statewide program for the collection of Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) data for submission to the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI). Subsequent activities resulted in nearly 100% coverage of the state and timely reporting to the FBI's UCR Program by 1978.

In 1982, the KBI, with the aid of a local law enforcement user's group, began to study the usefulness of establishing an Incident Based Reporting (IBR) system in Kansas. As a result, in 1986, the first Kansas Incident Based Reporting System (KIBRS) was implemented to collect relevant information on the occurrence and composition of crime in Kansas. Pursuant to state statute, law enforcement agencies at state and local levels began reporting standardized data on criminal offenses in their respective jurisdictions using a format approved by the Attorney General. The state program then converted the data to UCR format for submission to the FBI.

Throughout its first 60 years of operation, the FBI's UCR Program remained virtually unchanged in terms of the data collected and disseminated. As time progressed a broad utility evolved for UCR data. This allowed law enforcement to expand its capabilities to supply crime information. In the late-1970's, the law enforcement community called for a thorough evaluative study of UCR with the objective of recommending an expanded and enhanced UCR Program to meet law enforcement needs into the 21st century. The result was the implementation of the National Incident Based Reporting System (NIBRS) in 1988.

In 1991, the KBI, with the aid of a second local law enforcement user's group, began a comparative study of KIBRS against NIBRS. As a result, in 1993, the KBI implemented a new KIBRS program meeting the requirements of NIBRS. This resulted in new standardized forms and created formats for reporting data to the KBI electronically.

Since the arrival of incident based reporting, offense and arrest reports are now being used for much more than annual statistics. The expansion of data fields that are collected will assist Kansas in moving into the future by using these reports to assist in criminal investigations. In addition, IBR data offers:

- (1) More detailed, accurate, and meaningful data than produced by the traditional summary UCR Program;
- (2) Common denominator links among agencies both statewide and nationally;
- (3) Extensive, specific crime information concerning similar jurisdictions, allowing the identification of common crime problems or trends;
- (4) Statistics to enable law enforcement agencies to supply a better accounting of their activities to their governing bodies;
- (5) Legislators, municipal planners / administrators, academicians, penologists, sociologists, and the general public the ability to better assess the state's crime problems using the extensive data supplied through KIBRS;
- (6) Availability of KIBRS data through the Kansas Criminal Justice Information System (KCJIS) web-server.
- (7) Information necessary to acquire funding from grants to combat crime for a law enforcement agency.
- (8) Development of investigative tools to assist law enforcement agencies as they examine cases, leads and other investigations. KIBRS Views and the FBI's National Data Exchange (N-DEX) are two examples of this type of tool.





Section I

# INDEX CRIMES SUMMARY




## Violent Crimes Index Trend 2004-2012

				AGG.			NEGLIGENT
YEAR	MURDER	RAPE	ROBBERY	ASSAULT		TOTAL	HOMICIDE
2004	75	942	1,135	5,611		7,763	14
2005	57	959	1,054	6,238		8,308	8
2006	68	1,043	1,017	6,901		9,029	10
2007	73	1,075	1,089	6,972		9,209	9
2008	79	1,051	988	6,764		8,882	7
2009	75	1,015	1,034	6,759		8,883	9
2010	60	958	946	6,474		8,438	7
2011	63	841	869	6,159		7,932	9
2012	54	888	899	6,293		8,134	7
5YR-AVG	66.2	950.6	947.2	6,489.8		8,453.8	7.8
5YR-%Chg	-31.65%	-15.51%	-9.01%	-6.96%		-8.42%	0.00%
3YR-%Chg	-10.00%	-7.31%	-4.97%	-2.80%		-3.60%	0.00%

## Property Crimes Index Trend 2004-2012

			MOTOR VEH			
YEAR	BURGLARY	THEFT	THEFT		TOTAL	ARSON
2004	14,695	58,026	5,240		77,961	797
2005	14,239	55,248	4,956		74,443	792
2006	14,097	54,975	4,921		73,993	784
2007	14,663	53,671	5,139		73,473	776
2008	14,407	50,121	4,542		69,070	797
2009	13,849	46,614	3,680		64,143	799
2010	14,223	46,353	3,762		64,338	664
2011	13,654	46,876	4,240		64,770	678
2012	13,912	49,342	4,407		67,661	689
5YR-AVG	14,009.0	47,861.2	4,126.2		65,996.4	725.4
5YR-%Chg	-3.44%	-1.55%	-2.97%		-2.04%	-13.55%
3YR-%Chg	-2.19%	6.45%	17.15%		5.16%	3.77%





## **RATE OF INDEX CRIME**

Index crimes totaled 75,795 offenses for 2012, consisting of 32 offenses per 1,000 population. This is a slight increase from 31 as reported in 2011.

## **LARGEST CATEGORY OF INDEX CRIMES**

The largest number of offenses reported in 2012 were for larceny (theft) with 49,342 offenses. Property loss for larceny offenses were valued at \$32,663,893. The number of thefts reported increased 5.3% from 2011, with a 16.9% decrease in dollar loss.

## **VIOLENT CRIMES**

There were 8,134 violent crimes (murder, rape, robbery, and aggravated assault/battery) reported in 2012. This increased 2.6% from 2011.

## **NON-VIOLENT CRIMES**

There were 67,661 non-violent crimes (burglary, larceny, and motor vehicle theft) reported in 2012. This increased 4.5% since 2011.

## **VALUE OF STOLEN PROPERTY**

Stolen property was valued at \$77,142,271 in 2012; of that amount 20.0% were recovered. The dollar loss increased by \$10,912,143 or 16.5% compared to 2011.

## **MURDERS**

A total of 54 murders were reported in 2012, down 14.3% from 2011

## **LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS KILLED OR ASSAULTED**

Two officers were killed in 2012 as the result of a felonious act.

No officers were killed in 2012 as the result of an accident or negligent act.

Nine hundred sixty-four (964) law enforcement officers were assaulted in 2012. This represents a increase of 6.3% from 2011.

## **CRIME CLEARANCE RATE**

The clearance rate for all index crimes reported in 2012 was 15.1%; down from the 2011 rate of 15.7%



## **ARRESTS FOR INDEX CRIMES**

A total of 11,217 people were arrested for index crimes, constituting 12.7% of all reported arrests made in 2012. The total was up 0.1% from the 11,202 arrests reported in 2011.

## **DRUG ABUSE ARRESTS**

There were 8,917 arrests for drug abuse violations in 2012. This constituted 10.1% of all reported arrests made in 2012. The total was down 10.1% from the 9,920 arrests reported in 2011.

## **ALCOHOL RELATED ARRESTS**

There were 17,532 alcohol related arrests (DUI, drunkenness, and other liquor law violations) in 2012. These accounted for 19.9% of all arrests for 2012. The total was down 10.3% from the 19,549 alcohol related arrests reported in 2011. Summons or Notice to Appear are counted as arrests.

## **RATIO OF FULL-TIME LAW ENFORCEMENT EMPLOYEES**

In 2012, there were 6,519 full-time sworn law enforcement employees in Kansas. This represents a decrease of 7.6% from 2011. The police employee ratio was 2.3 employees per 1,000 in population. This data includes all agencies reporting data in Kansas.


## **POPULATION**

The estimated population for agencies submitting incident based data in Kansas for 2012 was 2,356,541.

## **LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES CONTRIBUTING DATA**

The total number of law enforcement agencies contributing incident based data in 2012 was 347. There were 368 agencies contributing incident based data in 2011.

## Total Index Crimes Reported




Year	Total Index Crimes	Percent Change
2004	85,724	
2005	82,751	-3.5%
2006	83,022	0.3%
2007	82,682	-0.4%
2008	77,952	-5.7%
2009	73,026	-6.3%
2010	72,776	-0.3%
2011	72,702	-0.1%
2012	75,795	4.3%

- Index crimes have seen a steady annual decline since 2004 despite an uptick in 2012
- The total number of Index crimes has declined 11.6% since 2004.
- In the past five years the total number of Index crimes has decreased by 2.3%.
- In the past three years the total number of Index crimes has increased by 4.2%.


## Total Violent Index Crimes Reported

Year	Total Index Crimes	Percent Change
2004	7,763	
2005	8,308	7.0%
2006	9,029	8.7%
2007	9,209	2.0%
2008	8,882	-3.6%
2009	8,883	0.0%
2010	8,438	-5.0%
2011	7,932	-6.0%
2012	8,134	2.5%



- Violent Index crimes have been inconsistent since 2004.
- The total number of violent Index crimes has increased 4.8% since 2004.
- In the past five years the total number of violent Index crimes has decreased 8.4%.
- In the past three years the total number of violent Index crimes has decreased 3.6%.


## Total Homicides Reported



Year	Murder	Percent Change
2004	75	
2005	57	-24.0%
2006	68	19.3%
2007	73	7.4%
2008	79	8.2%
2009	75	-5.1%
2010	60	-20.0%
2011	63	5.0%
2012	54	-14.3%

- Homicides historically fluctuate from year to year.
- The total number of homicides has declined 28.0% since 2004.
- In the past five years the total number of homicides has decreased by 31.7%.
- In the past three years the total number of homicides has decreased by 10.0%.


## Total Forcible Rapes Reported



Year	Rape	Percent Change
2004	942	
2005	959	1.8%
2006	1,043	8.8%
2007	1,075	3.1%
2008	1,051	-2.2%
2009	1,015	-3.4%
2010	958	-5.6%
2011	841	-12.2%
2012	888	5.6%

- Historically rapes have remained somewhat consistent. However, 2011 saw a significant decline in the number reported. Therefore, the increase in 2012 could be seen as a correction of that decline.
- The total number of reported rapes has declined 5.7% since 2004.
- In the past five years the total number of reported rapes has decreased by 15.5%.
- In the past three years the total number of reported rapes has decreased by 7.3%.


## Total Robberies Reported



Year	Robbery	Percent Change
2004	1,135	
2005	1,054	-7.1%
2006	1,017	-3.5%
2007	1,089	7.1%
2008	988	-9.3%
2009	1,034	4.7%
2010	946	-8.5%
2011	869	-8.1%
2012	899	3.5%

- Robberies historically fluctuate from year to year but have seen a decline over the past three years.
- The total number of robberies has declined 20.8% since 2004.
- In the past five years the total number of robberies has decreased by 9.0%.
- In the past three years the total number of robberies has decreased by 5.0%.

## Total Aggravated Assault/Battery Reported




Year	Agg Assault	Percent Change
2004	5,611	
2005	6,238	11.2%
2006	6,901	10.6%
2007	6,972	1.0%
2008	6,764	-3.0%
2009	6,759	-0.1%
2010	6,474	-4.2%
2011	6,159	-4.9%
2012	6,293	2.2%

- Historically aggravated assaults/batteries have remained somewhat consistent. However, 2005 and 2006 saw a significant increase in the number reported.
- The total number of reported aggravated assaults/batteries has increased 12.2% since 2004.
- In the past five years the total number of reported aggravated assault/batteries has decreased by 7.0%.
- In the past three years the total number of reported aggravated assault/batteries has decreased by 2.8%.




# Total Non-Violent Index Crimes Reported

Year	Non-Violent	Percent Change
2004	77,961	
2005	74,443	-4.5%
2006	73,993	-0.6%
2007	73,473	-0.7%
2008	69,070	-6.0%
2009	64,143	-7.1%
2010	64,338	0.3%
2011	64,770	0.7%
2012	67,661	4.5%



- Non-violent Index crimes have seen a steady annual decline since 2004. With a gradual uptick the past three years.
- The total number of non-violent Index crimes has declined 13.2% since 2004.
- In the past five years the total number of non-violent Index crimes has decreased by 2.0%.
- In the past three years the total number of non-violent Index crimes has increased by 5.2%.

# Total Burglaries Reported




Year	Burglary	Percent Change
2004	14,695	
2005	14,239	-3.1%
2006	14,097	-1.0%
2007	14,663	4.0%
2008	14,407	-1.7%
2009	13,849	-3.9%
2010	14,223	2.7%
2011	13,654	-4.0%
2012	13,912	1.9%

- Burglaries historically fluctuate from year to year.
- The total number of burglaries has declined 5.3% since 2004.
- In the past five years the total number of burglaries has decreased by 3.4%.
- In the past three years the total number of burglaries has decreased by 2.2%.




## Total Larceny Crimes Reported



Year	Larceny	Percent Change
2004	58,026	
2005	55,248	-4.8%
2006	54,975	-0.5%
2007	53,671	-2.4%
2008	50,121	-6.6%
2009	46,614	-7.0%
2010	46,353	-0.6%
2011	46,876	1.1%
2012	49,342	5.3%

- Larceny/theft crimes have seen a steady annual decline since 2004. With a gradual uptick in the last two years.
- The total number of larceny/theft crimes has declined 15.0% since 2004.
- In the past five years the total number of larceny/theft crimes has decreased by 1.6%.
- In the past three years the total number of larceny/theft crimes has increased by 6.5%.


## Total Motor Vehicle Thefts Reported



Year	MV Theft	Percent Change
2004	5,240	
2005	4,956	-5.4%
2006	4,921	-0.7%
2007	5,139	4.4%
2008	4,542	-11.6%
2009	3,680	-19.0%
2010	3,762	2.2%
2011	4,240	12.7%
2012	4,407	3.9%

- Motor vehicle thefts historically fluctuate from year to year.
- The total number of motor vehicle thefts has declined 16.0% since 2004.
- In the past five years the total number of motor vehicle thefts has decreased by 3.0%.
- In the past three years the total number of motor vehicle thefts has increased by 17.2%.
- The large decrease in 2009 is attributable to incomplete data in November and December (see page 4).


## Total Arsons Reported



Year	Arson	Percent Change
2004	797	
2005	792	-0.6%
2006	784	-1.0%
2007	776	-1.0%
2008	797	2.7%
2009	799	0.3%
2010	664	-16.9%
2011	678	2.1%
2012	689	1.6%

- Historically arsons have remained somewhat consistent. However, 2010 saw a significant decrease in the number reported.
- The total number of reported arsons have decreased 13.6% since 2004.
- In the past five years the total number of reported arsons have decreased by 13.6%.
- In the past three years the total number of reported arsons have increased by 3.8%.
- Arsons are reported with Index crimes but are not used in the calculation for the Crime Index.

## Value of Property Stolen and Recovered



Year	Stolen	Recovered
2004	\$43,272,790	\$12,981,144
2005	\$56,903,553	\$21,573,438
2006	\$60,023,839	\$22,577,981
2007	\$65,713,528	\$26,106,640
2008	\$61,881,155	\$23,404,384
2009	\$50,667,227	\$19,515,859
2010	\$53,059,701	\$17,466,538
2011	\$66,230,128	\$20,139,591
2012	\$77,142,271	\$15,401,326

Between 30-40% of the property value reported stolen was later recovered by law enforcement since 2004.

The value of property reported stolen increased 18.6% since 2004.

In the past five years that amount declined by 34.2%.

In the past three years the increase in value of property stolen was 11.8%.

# CLASSIFICATION OF INDEX CRIMES

Index crimes are also referred to as Part I crimes. The following list briefly explains each category and the type of offenses that are reported within each index classification.

**Murder/Voluntary Homicide:** The willful killing of another human being. This does not include homicides deemed to be justifiable.

**Forcible Rape:** The carnal knowledge of a person, forcibly and / or against that person's will; or where the victim is incapable of giving consent because of his / her temporary or permanent mental or physical incapacity including sexual intercourse with a child under 14 years of age.

**Robbery:** The taking, or attempting to take, anything of value under confrontational circumstances from the control, custody, or care of another person by force or threat of force or violence and / or by putting the victim in fear of immediate harm.

**Aggravated Assault/Battery:** An unlawful attack by one person upon another wherein the offender uses a weapon, or displays it in a threatening manner, or the victim suffers obvious severe or aggravated bodily injury involving apparent broken bones, loss of teeth, possible internal injury, severe laceration, or loss of consciousness. This also includes assault with disease (as in cases when the offender is aware that he / she is infected with a deadly disease and deliberately attempts to inflict the disease by biting, spitting, etc.).

**Burglary:** The unlawful entry into a building or other structure with the intent to commit a felony, a theft, or a sexual battery.

**Larceny/Theft:** The unlawful taking, carrying, leading, or riding away of property from the possession, or constructive possession, of another person.

**Motor Vehicle Theft:** The theft of a motor vehicle. A "motor vehicle" is a self-propelled vehicle that runs on the surface of the land and not on rails.

**Arson:** To unlawfully and intentionally damage, or attempt to damage, any real or personal property by fire, explosion or incendiary device.



## Section II

# INDEX CRIMES



# Summary Analysis of Index Crimes

## INDEX CRIME OFFENSES

The following three graphs illustrate the trends of the 2010, 2011, and 2012 total number of offenses by month. This information is based on the Kansas Crime Index.


The first graph represents the total number of index offenses occurring each month. The other two illustrate breakdowns of violent crimes and non-violent crimes by month.

## SUMMARY ANALYSIS

A total of 75,795 index crimes were reported in 2012. With 6,861 offenses, the month of July reflected the highest number of index crimes reported. The month of February showed the lowest number of reported offenses with 5,316 index crimes.


A further breakdown of the 2012 index crime offenses shows May had the greatest number of violent crimes with 784 offenses reported. The lowest number of violent crimes occurred in February with 545 offenses reported. A monthly analysis of the non-violent crimes indicates that July and February showed the highest and lowest number of offenses with monthly totals of 6,129 and 4,771 respectively.

**Total Index Crimes By Month**




	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC
<b>2012</b>	6,195	5,316	6,142	6,210	6,808	6,741	6,861	6,709	6,363	6,453	6,163	5,834
<b>2011</b>	5,151	4,138	5,655	5,944	6,365	6,445	6,987	6,792	6,579	6,677	6,130	5,839
<b>2010</b>	5,289	4,404	5,613	6,212	6,775	6,766	6,932	6,737	6,271	6,521	5,770	5,486

## Total Violent Crimes By Month



	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	Total
2012	667	545	691	640	784	745	732	773	691	618	670	578	8,134
2011	639	513	729	685	726	759	736	702	658	711	545	529	7,932
2010	606	562	678	722	801	773	763	709	747	830	682	565	8,438

## Total Non-Violent Crimes By Month



	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	Total
2012	5,528	4,771	5,451	5,570	6,024	5,996	6,129	5,936	5,672	5,835	5,493	5,256	67,661
2011	4,512	3,625	4,926	5,259	5,639	5,686	6,251	6,090	5,921	5,966	5,585	5,310	64,770
2010	4,683	3,842	4,935	5,490	5,974	5,993	6,169	6,028	5,524	5,691	5,088	4,921	64,338

# Index Crimes: Crime Clocks



## All Index Crimes

- One index crime is reported every 6 minutes and 54 seconds.
- One violent crime is reported every 1 hour 4 minutes and 36 seconds.
- One non-violent crime is reported every 7 minutes and 48 seconds.

## Violent Crimes

- One murder is reported every 6 days and 18 hours and 12 seconds.
- One rape is reported every 9 hours and 51 minutes and 54 seconds.
- One robbery is reported every 9 hours 44 minutes and 36 seconds.
- One aggravated assault/battery is reported every 1 hour 23 minutes and 30 seconds.



## Property Crimes

- One burglary is reported every 37 minutes and 47 seconds.
- One larceny/theft is reported every 10 minutes and 39 seconds.
- One motor vehicle theft is reported every 1 hour 59 minutes and 18 seconds.
- One arson is reported every 12 hours and 42 minutes and 48 seconds.

These crime clocks should be viewed with care. Being the most aggregate representation of crime data, it is designed to convey the annual crime experience by showing the relative frequency of occurrence of crime incident. This mode of display should not be taken to imply a regularity in the commission of these incidents; rather, it represents the annual ratio of crime to fixed time intervals.

# Murder/Voluntary Manslaughter

**DEFINITION:** The unlawful killing of a human being with malice and aforethought.

Any death due to an argument, fight, assault or commission of a crime. This crime is classified based on the investigation of law enforcement and does not include findings of a prosecutor or court. Involuntary manslaughter, suicide, accidental death and justifiable homicide are not counted in this category.

Attempted murders are classified as Aggravated Assault/Battery and not Murder.

## SUMMARY

54 murders were reported by law enforcement in 2012.

Murders accounted for 0.7% of all violent index crimes.

52 murders were cleared by either an arrest or death of the offender with a clearance rate of 96.3%.

51.9% of the murders committed in 2012 involved the use of firearms. Knives/Cutting Instruments were 16.7% and Personal Weapons (Hands, Fists, Feet) consisted of 11.1%.

Domestic Violence (DV) Murder: 24 of the murders reported in 2012 were flagged as DV.

The most common relationship of the victim to the offender for homicides in 2012 was Stranger at 23.7% followed by Acquaintance at 18.4%. However, when looking at known vs. unknown relationships the victim knew the offender in 65.8% of the cases.

### Victim Characteristics:

The most common age group of murder victims in 2012 were 30-39 year olds. They made up 29.6% of all homicides. The 20-29 year old group followed with 25.9%. As a percentage, 30-39 year olds have seen the largest 3 year increase.

Males accounted for 70.4% of homicide victims while females made up 29.6%.

Racial breakdowns for murder victims were: White 68.5%, Black 25.9%, Asian 5.6%. Hispanic ethnicity was listed in 7.4% of the victims reported.

### Suspect Characteristics:


The most common age group of murder suspects in 2012 were 20-29 year-olds. They made up 40.5% of all murder suspects. The 30-39 year old group followed with 22.9%.

Males accounted for 85.1% of murder suspects while females made up 13.5%.

Racial breakdowns for homicide suspects were: White 67.6%, Black 21.6%, Asian 6.8%, Unknown 4.1%. Hispanic ethnicity was listed in 16.2% of the suspects reported.




## Murder Offenses By Month



	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV
2012	7	0	7	3	5	1	4	6	10	1	3
2011	2	5	7	5	9	6	3	3	5	4	5
2010	6	3	6	6	3	8	12	7	2	1	3


## Murder Offenses By Day of the Week





	SUN	MON	TUE	WED	THU	FRI	SAT
2012	12	8	5	5	4	10	10
2011	12	4	6	9	10	8	14
2010	13	5	8	9	5	9	11

## Murder Offenses By Time of Day

Time	2012	2011	2010
00:00-01:59	1	13	10
02:00-03:59	11	5	6
04:00-05:59	3	2	7
06:00-07:59	2	3	1
08:00-09:59	10	10	3
10:00-11:59	4	7	11
12:00-13:59	6	4	3
14:00-15:59	2	3	3
16:00-17:59	2	2	0
18:00-19:59	3	5	6
20:00-21:59	6	7	3
22:00-23:59	4	2	7
<b>Total</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>60</b>



Day—6am to 2pm  
 Evening—2pm to 10pm  
 Night—10pm to 6am



## Murder Victims: Age, Sex, Race



Age	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
0-9	7	13.0%	5	7.9%	5	8.3%
10-19	2	3.7%	9	14.3%	4	6.7%
20-29	14	25.9%	18	28.6%	17	28.3%
30-39	16	29.6%	13	20.6%	11	18.3%
40-49	7	13.0%	11	17.5%	9	15.0%
50-59	3	5.6%	1	1.6%	6	10.0%
60-69	1	1.9%	5	7.9%	6	10.0%
70+	4	7.4%	1	1.6%	2	3.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>54</b>		<b>63</b>		<b>60</b>	

Gender	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Male	38	70.4%	44	69.8%	38	63.3%
Female	16	29.6%	19	30.2%	22	36.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>54</b>		<b>63</b>		<b>60</b>	

Race	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Asian	3	5.6%	2	3.2%	0	0.0%
Black	14	25.9%	17	27.0%	13	21.7%
Indian	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%
White	37	68.5%	44	69.8%	46	76.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>54</b>		<b>63</b>		<b>60</b>	


### FACTS:

- The typical murder victim is a white male between the ages of 30-39.
- 2012 saw the largest percentage increases in Asian, males, and between the ages of 30-39.
- In 2012, 7.4% of murder victims were of Hispanic ethnicity.


### Gender



### Race



### Age



## Murder Suspects: Age, Sex, Race



Age	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
0-9	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
10-19	6	8.1%	21	24.1%	11	14.3%
20-29	30	40.5%	27	31.0%	30	39.0%
30-39	17	23.0%	19	21.8%	17	22.1%
40-49	10	13.5%	10	11.5%	9	11.7%
50-59	4	5.4%	4	4.6%	4	5.2%
60-69	2	2.7%	2	2.3%	0	0.0%
70+	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	2.6%
Unknown	5	6.8%	4	4.6%	4	5.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>74</b>		<b>87</b>		<b>77</b>	




Gender	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Male	63	85.1%	75	86.2%	65	84.4%
Female	10	13.5%	9	10.3%	10	13.0%
Unknown	1	1.4%	3	3.4%	2	2.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>74</b>		<b>87</b>		<b>77</b>	

Race	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Asian	5	6.8%	2	2.3%	0	0.0%
Black	16	21.6%	32	36.8%	22	28.6%
Indian	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.3%
White	50	67.6%	50	57.5%	51	66.2%
Unknown	3	4.1%	3	3.4%	3	3.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>74</b>		<b>87</b>		<b>77</b>	

### FACTS:

- The typical murder suspect is a white male between the ages of 20-29.
- 2012 saw the largest percentage increases in white, females, and between the ages of 20-29.
- In 2012, 16.2% of murder suspects were of Hispanic ethnicity.

Some incidents involve more than one suspect. This results in totals here not matching total murders.



## Murder Offenses: Circumstances

	2012		2011		2010	
<b>Argument</b>	19	35.2%	16	25.0%	21	34.4%
<b>Assault LEO</b>	0	0.0%	1	1.6%	0	0.0%
<b>Drug Deal</b>	2	3.7%	2	3.1%	1	1.6%
<b>Gang</b>	1	1.9%	1	1.6%	1	1.6%
<b>Other Felony</b>	2	3.7%	2	3.1%	3	4.9%
<b>Other</b>	13	24.1%	19	29.7%	10	16.4%
<b>Unknown</b>	17	31.5%	23	35.9%	25	41.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>54</b>		<b>64</b>		<b>61</b>	

More than one circumstance and weapon code can be reported.


## Murder Offenses: Weapon

- Handguns make up the largest number of weapons used in murders.
- 2012 saw the largest percentage increase in knives followed by (other).
- 2012 saw the largest percentage decline in firearms followed by motor vehicles.

	2012		2011		2010	
<b>Firearm</b>	6	11.1%	16	24.2%	6	9.0%
<b>Handgun</b>	20	37.0%	18	27.3%	22	32.8%
<b>Rifle</b>	1	1.9%	2	3.0%	5	7.5%
<b>Shotgun</b>	1	1.9%	3	4.5%	1	1.5%
<b>Knife</b>	9	16.7%	7	10.6%	10	14.9%
<b>Blunt Object</b>	2	3.7%	1	1.5%	5	7.5%
<b>Motor Vehicle</b>	3	5.6%	0	0.0%	4	6.0%
<b>Personal</b>	6	11.1%	9	13.6%	8	11.9%
<b>Fire</b>	0	0.0%	1	1.5%	0	0.0%
<b>Drugs</b>	1	1.9%	1	1.5%	0	0.0%
<b>Asphyxiation</b>	1	1.9%	1	1.5%	2	3.0%
<b>Other</b>	1	1.9%	3	4.5%	0	0.0%
<b>Unknown</b>	3	5.6%	4	6.1%	4	6.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>54</b>		<b>66</b>		<b>67</b>	

	2012	2011	2010
<b>Firearms</b>	28	39	34
<b>Knife</b>	9	7	10
<b>Personal</b>	6	9	8
<b>Other</b>	8	7	11
<b>Unknown</b>	3	4	4


### 2012: Weapon Type



## Murder Offenses: Relationship of Victim to Suspect


	2012		2011		2010	
Acquaintance	14	18.4%	18	20.7%	22	28.6%
Babysitter	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	0	0.0%
Boyfriend/Girlfriend	5	6.6%	6	6.9%	6	7.8%
Child of Boyfriend/Girlfriend	2	2.6%	2	2.3%	2	2.6%
Child	5	6.6%	1	1.1%	3	3.9%
Common Law Spouse	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	1	1.3%
Friend	8	10.5%	3	3.4%	2	2.6%
Grandchild	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	0	0.0%
Grandparent	2	2.6%	2	2.3%	0	0.0%
Neighbor	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	0	0.0%
Other Family	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	2.6%
Otherwise Known	6	7.9%	11	12.6%	2	2.6%
Parent	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	4	5.2%
Roommate	1	1.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sibling	1	1.3%	1	1.1%	1	1.3%
Spouse	6	7.9%	8	9.2%	8	10.4%
Step Family	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	3.9%
Ex-Spouse	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	2.6%
Ex-Boyfriend/Girlfriend	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Unknown	8	10.5%	19	21.8%	15	19.5%
Stranger	18	23.7%	11	12.6%	4	5.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>76</b>		<b>87</b>		<b>77</b>	

## Murder: Suspect Known to Victim



## Murder Offenses: Premise Location

	2012		2011		2010	
Parking Lot	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%
City Street	7	13.0%	5	7.9%	4	6.7%
Alley	3	5.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Rural Road	3	5.6%	1	1.6%	2	3.3%
Single Residence	26	48.1%	37	58.7%	25	41.7%
Duplex	3	5.6%	2	3.2%	8	13.3%
Apartment	8	14.8%	7	11.1%	9	15.0%
Hospital	0	0.0%	1	1.6%	1	1.7%
Government Bldg	1	1.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Department Store	2	3.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Convenience Store	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%
Specialty Store	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%
Commercial Office	0	0.0%	2	3.2%	0	0.0%
Liquor Store	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%
Tavern/Bar	0	0.0%	1	1.6%	2	3.3%
Restaurant	0	0.0%	1	1.6%	0	0.0%
Hotel/Motel/Inn	1	1.9%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%
Park/Trails	0	0.0%	1	1.6%	2	3.3%
Fields/Woods	0	0.0%	3	4.8%	1	1.7%
Construction Site	0	0.0%	1	1.6%	1	1.7%
Temporary Structure	0	0.0%	1	1.6%	0	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>54</b>		<b>63</b>		<b>60</b>	



	2012	2011	2010
<b>Public</b>	14	13	12
<b>Residence</b>	37	46	42
<b>Business</b>	3	4	6
<b>Total</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>60</b>

# Forcible Rape

**DEFINITION:** The carnal knowledge of a person, forcibly and / or against that person's will; or where the victim is incapable of giving consent because of his / her temporary or permanent mental or physical incapacity including sexual intercourse with a child under 14 years of age.

## SUMMARY

888 rapes were reported by law enforcement in 2012.

Rapes accounted for 10.9% of all violent index crimes and 1.2% of all index crimes reported in 2012.

245 rapes were cleared by either an arrest, death of the offender, or refusal by county attorney to prosecute. This shows a clearance rate of 27.6%.

89.5% of the rapes committed in 2012 involved the use of personal weapons (hands, fists, feet). Firearms and knives combined for less than 1.3%

Rapes occurred most often, 78.5%, in a residence. While 11.3% were in a public area, and 6.6% occurred at a business.

The most common relationship of the victim to the offender for rapes in 2012 was acquaintance at 25.6%, followed by unknown at 12.5%. In 75.4% of the cases, the offender was known to the victim.

### **Victim Characteristics:**

The most common age group of rape victims in 2012 were 10-19 year olds. They made up 44.8% of all rapes. The 20-29 year old group followed with 24.8%.

Females accounted for 98.0% of rape victims. (In Kansas, males can be victims of rape if they are under the age of 14).

Racial breakdowns for rape victims were: White 86.9%, Black 10.6%, Asian 1.0%, Native Indian 0.8%, Unknown 0.7%. Hispanic ethnicity was listed in 10.9% of the victims reported.

### **Suspect Characteristics:**


The most common age group of rape suspects in 2012 were 10-19 year olds. They made up 26.6% of all rape suspects. The 20-29 year old group followed with 26.2%.

Males accounted for 95.7% of rape suspects, females 3.7% and unknown made up 0.6%.

Racial breakdowns for rape suspects were: White 69.3%, Black 21.4%, Unknown 7.8%, Native Indian 1.1%, Asian 0.4%. Hispanic ethnicity was reported in 16.3% of rape suspects.




## Rape Offenses By Month



	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC
2012	85	53	84	82	59	100	86	72	81	67	56	63
2011	72	69	75	57	70	93	81	76	75	67	57	49
2010	72	70	60	80	93	97	94	82	96	90	62	62


## Rape Offenses By Day of the Week





	SUN	MON	TUE	WED	THU	FRI	SAT
2012	196	102	90	93	107	142	158
2011	117	93	129	109	92	128	173
2010	160	133	108	115	112	157	173

## Rape Offenses By Time of Day


Time	2012	2011	2010
00:00-01:59	188	159	210
02:00-03:59	107	95	115
04:00-05:59	47	41	62
06:00-07:59	37	59	37
08:00-09:59	67	91	102
10:00-11:59	69	61	66
12:00-13:59	89	93	89
14:00-15:59	26	40	37
16:00-17:59	48	37	43
18:00-19:59	56	38	36
20:00-21:59	75	72	72
22:00-23:59	79	55	89
<b>Total</b>	<b>888</b>	<b>841</b>	<b>958</b>



Day—6am to 2pm  
 Evening—2pm to 10pm  
 Night—10pm to 6am



## Rape Victims: Age, Sex, Race





Age	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
0-9	69	7.8%	67	8.0%	86	9.0%
10-19	398	44.8%	387	46.0%	453	47.3%
20-29	220	24.8%	205	24.4%	228	23.8%
30-39	110	12.4%	94	11.2%	90	9.4%
40-49	58	6.5%	57	6.8%	66	6.9%
50-59	17	1.9%	21	2.5%	24	2.5%
60-69	9	1.0%	6	0.7%	4	0.4%
70+	7	0.8%	4	0.5%	7	0.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>888</b>		<b>841</b>		<b>958</b>	

Gender	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Male	18	2.0%	5	0.6%	8	0.8%
Female	870	98.0%	836	99.4%	948	99.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>888</b>		<b>841</b>		<b>958</b>	

Race	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Asian	9	1.0%	5	0.6%	8	0.8%
Black	94	10.6%	93	11.1%	82	8.6%
Indian	7	0.8%	4	0.5%	10	1.0%
White	772	86.9%	732	87.0%	855	89.2%
Unknown	6	0.7%	7	0.8%	3	0.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>888</b>		<b>841</b>		<b>958</b>	

### FACTS:

- The typical rape victim is a white female between the ages of 10-19.
- 2012 saw the largest percentage increases in Asian, males, and between the ages of 30-39.
- In 2012, 10.9% of rape victims were of Hispanic ethnicity.




Male rapes occur when the male victim is under 14 years of age.

## Rape Suspects: Age, Sex, Race

Age	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
0-9	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%
10-19	262	26.6%	276	29.8%	311	28.6%
20-29	258	26.2%	267	28.8%	304	28.0%
30-39	171	17.3%	141	15.2%	171	15.7%
40-49	83	8.4%	87	9.4%	117	10.8%
50-59	47	4.8%	38	4.1%	40	3.7%
60-69	13	1.3%	11	1.2%	12	1.1%
70+	5	0.5%	7	0.8%	1	0.1%
Unknown	147	14.9%	99	10.7%	130	12.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>986</b>		<b>926</b>		<b>1087</b>	

Gender	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Male	944	95.7%	870	94.0%	1023	94.1%
Female	36	3.7%	20	2.2%	27	2.5%
Unknown	6	0.6%	36	3.9%	37	3.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>986</b>		<b>926</b>		<b>1087</b>	




Race	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Asian	4	0.4%	10	1.1%	7	0.6%
Black	211	21.4%	199	21.5%	212	19.5%
Indian	11	1.1%	7	0.8%	14	1.3%
White	683	69.3%	652	70.4%	781	71.8%
Unknown	77	7.8%	58	6.3%	73	6.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>986</b>		<b>926</b>		<b>1087</b>	



### FACTS:

- The typical rape suspect is a white male between the ages of 10-19.
- 2012 saw the largest percentage increases in males, unknown race and unknown age.
- In 2012, 16.3% of rape suspects were of Hispanic ethnicity.

Some incidents involve more than one suspect.





## Rape Offenses: Weapon

- Personal weapons make up the largest number of weapons used during a rape.
- 2012 saw the largest percentage increase in personal weapons followed by blunt objects and drugs.
- 2012 saw the largest percentage decline in firearms followed by other.

	2012		2011		2010	
<b>Firearm</b>	1	0.1%	3	0.3%	0	0.0%
<b>Handgun</b>	3	0.3%	6	0.7%	4	0.4%
<b>Rifle</b>	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Shotgun</b>	0	0.0%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%
<b>Knife</b>	8	0.9%	10	1.1%	14	1.4%
<b>Blunt Object</b>	5	0.5%	3	0.3%	7	0.7%
<b>Motor Vehicle</b>	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%
<b>Personal</b>	826	89.5%	766	87.3%	823	82.9%
<b>Fire</b>	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Drugs</b>	5	0.5%	3	0.3%	6	0.6%
<b>Asphyxiation</b>	2	0.2%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%
<b>Other</b>	10	1.1%	23	2.6%	23	2.3%
<b>Unknown</b>	19	2.1%	18	2.1%	61	6.1%
<b>None</b>	43	4.7%	42	4.8%	53	5.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>923</b>		<b>877</b>		<b>993</b>	

More than one weapon can be listed per incident which may result in more weapons reported than rapes.


	2012	2011	2010
Firearms	4	11	4
Knife	8	10	14
Personal	826	766	823
Other	23	30	38
Unknown	19	18	61




## Rape Offenses: Relationship of Victim to Suspect

	2012		2011		2010	
Acquaintance	271	25.6%	286	32.7%	299	29.9%
Babysitter	5	0.5%	2	0.2%	3	0.3%
Boyfriend/Girlfriend	127	12.0%	112	12.8%	125	12.5%
Child of Boyfriend/Girlfriend	11	1.0%	6	0.7%	12	1.2%
Child	33	3.1%	30	3.4%	29	2.9%
Common Law Spouse	2	0.2%	6	0.7%	3	0.3%
Co-Worker	7	0.7%	4	0.5%	5	0.5%
BF/GF of Suspect's Parent	2	0.2%	2	0.2%	2	0.2%
Employer/Employee	4	0.4%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%
Friend	96	9.1%	54	6.2%	85	8.5%
Foster Family	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	2	0.2%
Grandchild	7	0.7%	4	0.5%	3	0.3%
Grandparent	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
In Law	3	0.3%	2	0.2%	1	0.1%
Neighbor	8	0.8%	11	1.3%	7	0.7%
Other Family	41	3.9%	22	2.5%	25	2.5%
Otherwise Known	65	6.1%	59	6.8%	62	6.2%
Parent	2	0.2%	2	0.2%	1	0.1%
Roommate	9	0.8%	5	0.6%	6	0.6%
Sibling	9	0.8%	15	1.7%	8	0.8%
Spouse	27	2.5%	24	2.7%	19	1.9%
Step Family	25	2.4%	19	2.2%	34	3.4%
Ex-Spouse	10	0.9%	5	0.6%	9	0.9%
Ex-Boyfriend/Girlfriend	35	3.3%	35	4.0%	31	3.1%
Unknown	133	12.5%	94	10.8%	125	12.5%
Stranger	128	12.1%	73	8.4%	104	10.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1060</b>		<b>874</b>		<b>1001</b>	

### Suspect Known to Victim




### Spouse/Dating/Formal Relationship



## Rape Offenses: Premise Location

	2012		2011		2010	
Parking Lot	10	1.1%	16	1.9%	6	0.6%
City Street/Alley	43	4.8%	27	3.2%	48	5.0%
Rural Road/Highway	14	1.6%	6	0.7%	17	1.8%
Abandon Structure	3	0.3%	2	0.2%	2	0.2%
Single Residence	502	56.5%	485	57.7%	548	57.2%
Duplex/Apartment	177	19.9%	167	19.9%	192	20.0%
Nursing Home/Hospital	28	3.2%	17	2.0%	11	1.1%
Dormitory	10	1.1%	6	0.7%	15	1.6%
College/University	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	3	0.3%
Public/Private School	12	1.4%	7	0.8%	3	0.3%
Church	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Govt Building	6	0.7%	6	0.7%	7	0.7%
Bank	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Drug Store/Dr Office	3	0.3%	1	0.1%	2	0.2%
Commercial Office	1	0.1%	5	0.6%	4	0.4%
Retail Store	0	0.0%	3	0.4%	6	0.6%
Tavern/Bar	7	0.8%	4	0.5%	7	0.7%
Restaurant	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	3	0.3%
Hotel/Motel/Inn	21	2.4%	35	4.2%	35	3.7%
Park/Trails	19	2.1%	32	3.8%	28	2.9%
Fields/Woods	11	1.2%	6	0.7%	2	0.2%
Lake/Waterway	0	0.0%	3	0.4%	5	0.5%
Temporary Structure	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Recreational Facility	3	0.3%	5	0.6%	1	0.1%
Other	16	1.8%	6	0.7%	13	1.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>888</b>		<b>841</b>		<b>958</b>	



	2012	2011	2010
<b>Public</b>	100	95	107
<b>Residence</b>	697	669	758
<b>Business</b>	59	61	72
<b>Other</b>	32	16	21
<b>Total</b>	<b>888</b>	<b>841</b>	<b>958</b>

# Robbery

**DEFINITION:** The taking, or attempting to take, anything of value under confrontational circumstances from the control, custody, or care of another person by force or threat of force or violence and / or by putting the victim in fear of immediate harm.

## SUMMARY

899 robberies were reported by law enforcement in 2012.

Robberies accounted for 11.1% of all violent index crimes and 1.2% of all index crimes.

239 robberies were cleared by either an arrest, death of the offender, or refusal by county attorney to prosecute. This shows a clearance rate of 26.6%.

39.8% of the robberies committed in 2012 involved the use of personal weapons (hands, fists, feet). Firearms and knives represented 36.3% and 10.9% respectively.

Robberies are evenly distributed between residence (35.4%), public (33.7%), business (28.5%) and other (2.4%)

The property loss attributed to robberies in 2012 amounted to \$825,250 which was a decrease of 26.4% from the over \$1.1 million dollars reported in 2011. Cash accounted for 42.6% of total property loss followed by vehicles at 29.5%.

### **Victim Characteristics:**

Victims can be individuals or businesses. By definition, at least one victim in each incident must be an individual.

The most common age group of individual robbery victims in 2012 were 20-29 year olds. They made up 33.9% of all robberies.

Males accounted for 60.8% of individual robbery victims.

Racial breakdowns for robbery victims were: White 77.9%, Black 17.1%, Asian 1.9%, Native Indian 0.7% and Unknown 2.3%. Hispanic ethnicity was reported in 12.6% of the victims reported.

### **Suspect Characteristics:**


The most common age group of robbery suspects in 2012 were 20-29 year olds. They made up 30.9% of all robbery suspects.

Males accounted for 81.5% of robbery suspects, females 11.6% and unknown made up 6.9%.

Racial breakdowns for robbery suspects were: Black 48.0%, White 39.0%, Unknown 11.6%, Asian 0.7%, Native Indian 0.7%. Hispanic ethnicity was reported in 10.7% of robbery suspects.




## Robbery Offenses By Month



	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC
2012	74	62	64	67	79	73	72	71	76	88	94	79
2011	55	55	88	64	62	84	86	82	68	72	73	80
2010	78	63	66	88	81	67	85	71	79	81	118	69


## Robbery Offenses By Day of the Week





	SUN	MON	TUE	WED	THU	FRI	SAT
2012	149	127	119	117	124	142	121
2011	123	103	139	115	140	113	136
2010	133	141	125	114	141	138	154

## Robbery Offenses By Time of Day


Time	2012	2011	2010
00:00-01:59	98	97	112
02:00-03:59	127	108	141
04:00-05:59	64	69	84
06:00-07:59	81	72	80
08:00-09:59	133	124	106
10:00-11:59	108	121	122
12:00-13:59	66	67	57
14:00-15:59	28	21	31
16:00-17:59	31	32	37
18:00-19:59	33	36	35
20:00-21:59	45	67	70
22:00-23:59	85	55	71
<b>Total</b>	<b>899</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>946</b>



Day—6am to 2pm  
 Evening—2pm to 10pm  
 Night—10pm to 6am



## Robbery Victims: Age, Sex, Race



Age	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
0-9	18	1.5%	32	2.7%	36	2.6%
10-19	258	21.0%	168	14.1%	266	19.4%
20-29	417	33.9%	483	40.6%	476	34.8%
30-39	180	14.6%	162	13.6%	206	15.0%
40-49	150	12.2%	155	13.0%	172	12.6%
50-59	105	8.5%	116	9.7%	126	9.2%
60-69	65	5.3%	37	3.1%	49	3.6%
70+	26	2.1%	27	2.3%	26	1.9%
Unknown	12	1.0%	11	0.9%	12	0.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1231</b>		<b>1191</b>		<b>1369</b>	



Gender	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Male	748	60.8%	719	60.4%	824	60.2%
Female	478	38.8%	467	39.2%	540	39.4%
Unknown	5	0.4%	5	0.4%	5	0.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1231</b>		<b>1191</b>		<b>1369</b>	

Race	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Asian	24	1.9%	32	2.7%	33	2.4%
Black	211	17.1%	218	18.3%	215	15.7%
Indian	9	0.7%	8	0.7%	7	0.5%
White	959	77.9%	927	77.8%	1099	80.3%
Unknown	28	2.3%	6	0.5%	15	1.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1231</b>		<b>1191</b>		<b>1369</b>	

Victim Type	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Government	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%
Financial	13	0.9%	24	1.7%	19	1.2%
Business	148	10.6%	199	14.1%	220	13.7%
Individual	1231	88.4%	1191	84.2%	1369	85.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1393</b>		<b>1414</b>		<b>1609</b>	

### FACTS:

- The typical robbery victim is a white male between the ages of 20-29.
- In 2012, 12.6% of robbery victims listed as Hispanic ethnicity.
- In 2012, 16.5% of the incidents reported were businesses; 1.4% were financial institutions.
- In 2012, 10.6% of victims reported were businesses; 0.9% were financial institutions.



## Robbery Suspects: Age, Sex, Race

Age	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
0-9	2	0.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%
10-19	278	18.2%	216	14.5%	296	18.5%
20-29	473	30.9%	540	36.2%	596	37.2%
30-39	178	11.6%	193	12.9%	165	10.3%
40-49	84	5.5%	87	5.8%	78	4.9%
50-59	33	2.2%	29	1.9%	27	1.7%
60-69	3	0.2%	3	0.2%	5	0.3%
70+	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%
Unknown	479	31.3%	424	28.4%	434	27.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1531</b>		<b>1493</b>		<b>1602</b>	




Gender	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Male	1248	81.5%	1254	84.0%	1371	85.6%
Female	178	11.6%	151	10.1%	161	10.0%
Unknown	105	6.9%	88	5.9%	70	4.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1531</b>		<b>1493</b>		<b>1602</b>	

Race	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Asian	10	0.7%	9	0.6%	5	0.3%
Black	736	48.1%	771	51.6%	749	46.8%
Indian	10	0.7%	4	0.3%	5	0.3%
White	598	39.1%	572	38.3%	737	46.0%
Unknown	177	11.6%	137	9.2%	106	6.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1531</b>		<b>1493</b>		<b>1602</b>	



### FACTS:

- The typical robbery suspect is a black male between the ages of 20-29.
- 2012 saw the largest increases in unknown race, females, and between the ages of 10-19.
- In 2012, 10.7% of robbery suspects listed Hispanic ethnicity.





## Robbery Offenses: Weapon

- Personal weapons make up the largest number of weapons used in robberies.
- 2012 saw the largest percentage increase in no weapons followed by firearms.
- 2012 saw the largest percentage decline in personal weapons followed by blunt objects.

	2012		2011		2010	
<b>Firearm</b>	61	5.9%	51	5.0%	51	4.6%
<b>Handgun</b>	299	28.8%	296	29.1%	340	30.9%
<b>Rifle</b>	3	0.3%	8	0.8%	13	1.2%
<b>Shotgun</b>	14	1.3%	13	1.3%	20	1.8%
<b>Knife</b>	113	10.9%	106	10.4%	103	9.3%
<b>Blunt Object</b>	44	4.2%	52	5.1%	58	5.3%
<b>Motor Vehicle</b>	3	0.3%	2	0.2%	4	0.4%
<b>Personal</b>	413	39.7%	415	40.8%	417	37.8%
<b>Fire</b>	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Drugs</b>	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Asphyxiation</b>	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%
<b>Other</b>	22	2.1%	22	2.2%	29	2.6%
<b>Unknown</b>	34	3.3%	32	3.1%	49	4.4%
<b>None</b>	30	2.9%	18	1.8%	18	1.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1039</b>		<b>1016</b>		<b>1102</b>	


More than one weapon can be listed per incident which may result in more weapons reported than robberies.

	2012	2011	2010
<b>Firearms</b>	377	368	424
<b>Knife</b>	113	106	103
<b>Personal</b>	413	415	417
<b>Other</b>	72	77	91
<b>Unknown</b>	34	32	49



## Robbery Offenses: Premise Location

	2012		2011		2010	
Parking Lot	23	2.6%	32	3.7%	33	3.5%
City Street/Alley	238	26.5%	198	22.8%	180	19.0%
Rural Road/Highway	9	1.0%	8	0.9%	11	1.2%
Abandon Structure	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	2	0.2%
Single Residence	160	17.8%	156	18.0%	193	20.4%
Duplex/Apartment	153	17.0%	143	16.5%	145	15.3%
Nursing Home/Hospital	4	0.4%	3	0.3%	3	0.3%
Dormitory	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
College/University	4	0.4%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%
Public/Private School	3	0.3%	1	0.1%	6	0.6%
Church	3	0.3%	4	0.5%	3	0.3%
Govt Building/Jail	7	0.8%	3	0.3%	5	0.5%
Bank	17	1.9%	37	4.3%	28	3.0%
Drug Store/Dr Office	1	0.1%	4	0.5%	3	0.3%
Commercial Office	12	1.3%	12	1.4%	13	1.4%
Convenience Store	56	6.2%	80	9.2%	85	9.0%
Department Store	18	2.0%	23	2.6%	30	3.2%
Grocery Store	19	2.1%	8	0.9%	12	1.3%
Specialty Store/Mall	33	3.7%	38	4.4%	32	3.4%
Liquor Store	9	1.0%	13	1.5%	13	1.4%
Tavern/Bar	12	1.3%	17	2.0%	21	2.2%
Restaurant	41	4.6%	41	4.7%	62	6.6%
Hotel/Motel/Inn	32	3.6%	21	2.4%	35	3.7%
Park/Trails	29	3.2%	19	2.2%	12	1.3%
Fields/Woods	3	0.3%	2	0.2%	4	0.4%
Car Wash	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	2	0.2%
Temporary Structure	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Recreational Facility	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Other	9	1.0%	4	0.5%	12	1.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>899</b>		<b>869</b>		<b>946</b>	



	2012	2011	2010
<b>Public</b>	303	259	240
<b>Residence</b>	318	299	338
<b>Business</b>	256	299	343
<b>Other</b>	22	12	25
<b>Total</b>	<b>899</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>946</b>

## Robbery Offenses: Property Loss

	2012		2011		2010	
Cash/Currency	\$351,553.00	42.60%	\$563,830.00	50.34%	\$ 726,672.00	47.76%
Gift/Phone Cards	\$20.00	0.00%	\$212.00	0.02%	\$ 697.00	0.05%
Jewelry	\$21,404.00	2.59%	\$62,207.00	5.55%	\$ 327,943.00	21.55%
Clothing	\$13,833.00	1.68%	\$11,372.00	1.02%	\$ 19,016.00	1.25%
Vehicle	\$243,480.00	29.50%	\$323,401.00	28.88%	\$ 303,976.00	19.98%
Computer/Office Equip	\$28,434.00	3.45%	\$32,596.00	2.91%	\$ 30,596.00	2.01%
Electronic Equipment	\$56,924.00	6.90%	\$32,548.00	2.91%	\$ 55,286.00	3.63%
Cell Phones	\$47,858.00	5.80%	\$40,221.00	3.59%	\$ 33,369.00	2.19%
Firearms/Weapons	\$7,809.00	0.95%	\$6,985.00	0.62%	\$ 4,805.00	0.32%
Household Goods	\$4,847.00	0.59%	\$600.00	0.05%	\$ 643.00	0.04%
Alcohol/Tobacco	\$4,199.00	0.51%	\$19,281.00	1.72%	\$ 1,941.00	0.13%
Food/Drink	\$390.00	0.05%	\$1,138.00	0.10%	\$ 305.00	0.02%
Health & Beauty	\$200.00	0.02%	\$209.00	0.02%	\$ 673.00	0.04%
Drugs	\$4,691.00	0.57%	\$10,126.00	0.90%	\$ 5,769.00	0.38%
Keys	\$667.00	0.08%	\$1,778.00	0.16%	\$ 1,692.00	0.11%
Bicycle/ Bike Parts	\$1,565.00	0.19%	\$1,544.00	0.14%	\$ 2,355.00	0.15%
Other	\$37,376.00	4.53%	\$11,914.00	1.06%	\$ 5,790.00	0.38%
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$825,250.00</b>		<b>\$ 1,119,962.00</b>		<b>\$ 1,521,528.00</b>	

## Robbery Offenses: Property Loss Top 10

2012		2011		2010	
Currency	\$351,553.00	Currency	\$563,830.00	Currency	\$ 726,672.00
Vehicle	\$243,480.00	Vehicle	\$323,401.00	Jewelry	\$ 327,943.00
Electronics	\$56,924.00	Jewelry	\$62,207.00	Vehicle	\$ 303,976.00
Cell Phones	\$47,858.00	Cell Phones	\$40,221.00	Electronics	\$ 55,286.00
Other	\$37,376.00	Computer/Office	\$32,596.00	Cell Phones	\$ 33,369.00
Computer/Office	\$28,434.00	Electronics	\$32,548.00	Computer/Office	\$ 30,596.00
Jewelry	\$21,404.00	Alcohol/Tobacco	\$19,281.00	Clothing	\$ 19,016.00
Clothing	\$13,833.00	Other	\$11,914.00	Other	\$ 5,790.00
Firearms/Weapon	\$7,809.00	Clothing	\$11,372.00	Drugs	\$ 5,769.00
Household Goods	\$4,847.00	Drugs	\$10,126.00	Weapons	\$ 4,805.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$813,518.00</b>		<b>\$1,107,496.00</b>		<b>\$1,513,222.00</b>

These top 10 categories make up over 98% of the total property loss each year.

# Aggravated Assault/Battery

**DEFINITION:** An unlawful attack by one person upon another wherein the offender uses a weapon, or displays it in a threatening manner, or the victim suffers obvious severe or aggravated bodily injury involving apparent broken bones, loss of teeth, possible internal injury, severe laceration, or loss of consciousness.

## SUMMARY

6,293 aggravated assaults/battery were reported by law enforcement in 2012.

Aggravated assaults/battery accounted for 77.4% of all violent index crimes and 8.3% of all index crimes.

2,540 aggravated assaults/battery were cleared by either an arrest, death of the offender, or refusal by county attorney to prosecute. This shows a clearance rate of 40.4%.

26.4% of the aggravated assaults/battery committed in 2012 involved the use of Personal Weapons (Hands, Fists, Feet). Firearms and Knives represented 22.8% and 18.9% respectively.

Aggravated assaults/battery occurred most often in a residence, 59.9%, while 25.1% were in a public area, and 10.6% occurred at a business.

The most common relationship of the victim to the offender for aggravated assaults/battery in 2012 was Stranger at 16.9%, followed by Acquaintance at 16.8%. In 70.2% of the cases, the victim knew the offender.

### **Victim Characteristics:**

The most common age group of aggravated assaults/battery victims in 2012 were 20-29 year olds. They made up 29.6% of all aggravated assault/battery victims.

Males accounted for 60.7% of aggravated assaults/battery victims.

Racial breakdowns for aggravated assault/battery victims were: White 78.0%, Black 19.1%, Asian 0.8%, Native Indian 0.6% and Unknown 1.4%. Hispanic ethnicity was reported in 11.8% of the victims reported.

### **Suspect Characteristics:**


The most common age group of aggravated assault/battery suspects in 2012 were 20-29 year olds. They made up 32.5% of all aggravated assault/battery suspects.

Males accounted for 75.9% of aggravated assault/battery suspects, females 20.2% and unknown made up 3.9%.

Racial breakdowns for aggravated assault/battery suspects were: White 68.7%, Black 24.2%, Unknown 5.4%, Asian 0.9%, Native Indian 0.8%. Hispanic ethnicity was reported in 12.2% of aggravated assaults/battery suspects.




## Aggravated Assault/Battery Offenses By Month



	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC
2012	503	427	534	492	637	572	570	619	523	466	517	433
2011	510	384	559	559	585	576	566	541	510	568	410	391
2010	450	426	546	548	624	601	572	549	570	658	499	431

## Aggravated Assault/Battery Offenses By Day of the Week






	SUN	MON	TUE	WED	THU	FRI	SAT
2012	1203	841	708	744	772	892	1133
2011	1136	704	764	699	692	961	1203
2010	1231	884	756	745	778	944	1136

## Aggravated Assault/Battery Offenses By Time of Day

Time	2012	2011	2010
00:00-01:59	810	817	913
02:00-03:59	774	778	847
04:00-05:59	408	428	451
06:00-07:59	381	361	396
08:00-09:59	514	546	549
10:00-11:59	642	562	580
12:00-13:59	504	500	532
14:00-15:59	332	326	339
16:00-17:59	416	451	395
18:00-19:59	458	418	460
20:00-21:59	520	468	531
22:00-23:59	534	504	481
<b>Total</b>	<b>6293</b>	<b>6159</b>	<b>6474</b>

Day—6am to 2pm  
 Evening—2pm to 10pm  
 Night—10pm to 6am



## Aggravated Assault Victims: Age, Sex, Race



Age	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
0-9	573	9.1%	651	10.6%	577	8.9%
10-19	1140	18.1%	1126	18.3%	1370	21.2%
20-29	1864	29.6%	1848	30.0%	2036	31.4%
30-39	1142	18.1%	1099	17.8%	1101	17.0%
40-49	803	12.8%	753	12.2%	760	11.7%
50-59	440	7.0%	440	7.1%	383	5.9%
60-69	140	2.2%	118	1.9%	114	1.8%
70+	46	0.7%	35	0.6%	38	0.6%
Unknown	145	2.3%	89	1.4%	95	1.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>6293</b>		<b>6159</b>		<b>6474</b>	

Gender	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Male	3820	60.7%	3632	59.0%	3858	59.6%
Female	2444	38.8%	2503	40.6%	2594	40.1%
Unknown	29	0.5%	24	0.4%	22	0.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>6293</b>		<b>6159</b>		<b>6474</b>	

Race	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Asian	53	0.8%	61	1.0%	43	0.7%
Black	1205	19.1%	1274	20.7%	1255	19.4%
Indian	37	0.6%	52	0.8%	55	0.8%
White	4908	78.0%	4703	76.4%	5072	78.3%
Unknown	90	1.4%	69	1.1%	49	0.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>6293</b>		<b>6159</b>		<b>6474</b>	


### FACTS:

- The typical aggravated assault victim is a white male between the ages of 20-29.
- 2012 saw the largest increases of victims in white, males, and unknown age.
- In 2012, 11.8% of aggravated assault victims were of Hispanic ethnicity.


### Gender



### Race



### Age



## Aggravated Assault Suspects: Age, Sex, Race

Age	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
0-9	8	0.1%	11	0.2%	11	0.2%
10-19	911	14.9%	977	16.2%	1146	18.2%
20-29	1993	32.5%	1994	33.1%	2129	33.8%
30-39	1285	21.0%	1157	19.2%	1172	18.6%
40-49	748	12.2%	728	12.1%	734	11.6%
50-59	401	6.5%	367	6.1%	357	5.7%
60-69	98	1.6%	96	1.6%	71	1.1%
70+	36	0.6%	28	0.5%	21	0.3%
Unknown	651	10.6%	669	11.1%	664	10.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>6131</b>		<b>6027</b>		<b>6305</b>	




Gender	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Male	4652	75.9%	4523	75.0%	4791	76.0%
Female	1236	20.2%	1261	20.9%	1236	19.6%
Unknown	243	4.0%	243	4.0%	278	4.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>6131</b>		<b>6027</b>		<b>6305</b>	

Race	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Asian	53	0.9%	66	1.1%	47	0.7%
Black	1483	24.2%	1464	24.3%	1409	22.3%
Indian	52	0.8%	64	1.1%	56	0.9%
White	4213	68.7%	4105	68.1%	4447	70.5%
Unknown	330	5.4%	328	5.4%	346	5.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>6131</b>		<b>6027</b>		<b>6305</b>	



### FACTS:




- The typical aggravated assault suspect is a white male between the ages of 20-29.
- 2012 saw the largest increases of suspects in white, males, and between the ages of 30-39.
- In 2012, 12.2% of rape suspects were of Hispanic ethnicity.



## Aggravated Assault/Battery: Circumstances

	2012		2011		2010	
<b>Argument</b>	3261	49.5%	3061	48.2%	3290	49.2%
<b>Assault LEO</b>	262	4.0%	175	2.8%	173	2.6%
<b>Drug Deal</b>	26	0.4%	19	0.3%	30	0.4%
<b>Gang</b>	104	1.6%	68	1.1%	122	1.8%
<b>Other Felony</b>	71	1.1%	66	1.0%	73	1.1%
<b>Other</b>	1242	18.8%	1091	17.2%	1160	17.4%
<b>Unknown</b>	1625	24.7%	1873	29.5%	1837	27.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>6591</b>		<b>6353</b>		<b>6685</b>	

More than one circumstance code can be reported.



A lover's quarrel is an argument within a domestic relationship. They are included together in the table and first chart.

Juvenile gang is gang related but suspects are members of a gang described by the local agency as juvenile. They are included together in the table and first chart.



## Aggravated Assault/Battery Offenses: Weapon

- Personal weapons make up the largest number of weapons used in assaults.
- 2012 saw the largest percentage increase in handguns followed by motor vehicles.
- 2012 saw the largest percentage decline in personal weapons followed by blunt objects.

	2012		2011		2010	
<b>Firearm</b>	396	5.4%	381	5.3%	357	4.7%
<b>Handgun</b>	1070	14.5%	971	13.6%	1016	13.3%
<b>Rifle</b>	91	1.2%	126	1.8%	104	1.4%
<b>Shotgun</b>	124	1.7%	119	1.7%	153	2.0%
<b>Knife</b>	1395	18.9%	1333	18.6%	1344	17.6%
<b>Blunt Obj</b>	872	11.8%	894	12.5%	895	11.7%
<b>Motor Vehicle</b>	652	8.8%	582	8.1%	637	8.3%
<b>Personal</b>	1944	26.3%	1946	27.2%	2145	28.1%
<b>Fire/Explosives</b>	39	0.5%	82	1.1%	111	1.5%
<b>Drugs</b>	12	0.2%	2	0.0%	4	0.1%
<b>Asphyxiation</b>	30	0.4%	28	0.4%	102	1.3%
<b>Other</b>	495	6.7%	476	6.6%	543	7.1%
<b>Unknown</b>	245	3.3%	207	2.9%	216	2.8%
<b>None</b>	14	0.2%	18	0.3%	18	0.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>7379</b>		<b>7165</b>		<b>7645</b>	

More than one weapon can be listed per incident which may result in more weapons reported than robberies.


	2012	2011	2010
Firearms	1681	1597	1630
Knife	1395	1333	1344
Personal	1944	1946	2145
Blunt Obj	872	894	895
Other	1228	1170	1397
Unknown	245	207	216




## Aggravated Assault Offenses: Relationship of Victim to Suspect

	2012		2011		2010	
Acquaintance	1302	16.8%	1252	16.3%	1390	17.7%
Babysittee	9	0.1%	13	0.2%	7	0.1%
Boyfriend/Girlfriend	744	9.6%	743	9.7%	747	9.5%
Child of Boyfriend/Girlfriend	98	1.3%	104	1.4%	76	1.0%
Child	628	8.1%	593	7.7%	620	7.9%
Common Law Spouse	49	0.6%	54	0.7%	74	0.9%
Co Worker	24	0.3%	16	0.2%	13	0.2%
BF/GF of Suspect's Parent	11	0.1%	14	0.2%	5	0.1%
Friend	229	3.0%	224	2.9%	202	2.6%
Foster Family	11	0.1%	10	0.1%	18	0.2%
Grandchild	23	0.3%	39	0.5%	26	0.3%
Grandparent	17	0.2%	10	0.1%	15	0.2%
Homosexual Relationship	25	0.3%	37	0.5%	21	0.3%
In Law	32	0.4%	53	0.7%	46	0.6%
Neighbor	150	1.9%	153	2.0%	155	2.0%
Other Family	130	1.7%	128	1.7%	144	1.8%
Otherwise Known	687	8.9%	613	8.0%	629	8.0%
Parent	203	2.6%	185	2.4%	168	2.1%
Roommate	114	1.5%	102	1.3%	78	1.0%
Sibling	190	2.4%	152	2.0%	170	2.2%
Spouse	345	4.4%	411	5.3%	428	5.4%
Step Family	157	2.0%	163	2.1%	151	1.9%
Ex-Spouse	72	0.9%	69	0.9%	78	1.0%
Ex-Boyfriend/Girlfriend	198	2.6%	160	2.1%	181	2.3%
Unknown	999	12.9%	1059	13.8%	932	11.9%
Stranger	1313	16.9%	1329	17.3%	1482	18.9%
Total	7760		7686		7856	

### Suspect Known to Victim




### Spouse/Dating/Formal Relationship



## Aggravated Assault/Battery Offenses: Premise Location

	2012		2011		2010	
Parking Lot	107	1.7%	141	2.2%	160	2.4%
City Street/Alley	1164	18.0%	938	14.9%	1110	16.8%
Rural Road/Highway	206	3.2%	219	3.5%	237	3.6%
Abandon Structure	3	0.0%	9	0.1%	4	0.1%
Single Residence	2841	44.0%	2906	46.1%	2907	44.0%
Duplex/Apartment	1004	15.5%	965	15.3%	974	14.8%
Nursing Home/Hospital	54	0.8%	69	1.1%	57	0.9%
Dormitory	15	0.2%	8	0.1%	17	0.3%
College/University	9	0.1%	13	0.2%	13	0.2%
Public/Private School	124	1.9%	123	2.0%	131	2.0%
Church	7	0.1%	6	0.1%	12	0.2%
Govt Building	111	1.7%	112	1.8%	81	1.2%
Bank	5	0.1%	9	0.1%	10	0.2%
Drug Store/Dr Office	3	0.0%	11	0.2%	4	0.1%
Commercial Office	50	0.8%	40	0.6%	46	0.7%
Retail Store	185	2.9%	154	2.4%	178	2.7%
Tavern/Bar	218	3.4%	271	4.3%	300	4.5%
Restaurant	72	1.1%	54	0.9%	55	0.8%
Hotel/Motel/Inn	68	1.1%	76	1.2%	57	0.9%
Park/Trails	92	1.4%	83	1.3%	97	1.5%
Fields/Woods	28	0.4%	24	0.4%	30	0.5%
Lake/Waterway	14	0.2%	4	0.1%	10	0.2%
Recreational Facility	7	0.1%	16	0.3%	12	0.2%
Other	73	1.1%	51	0.8%	100	1.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>6460</b>		<b>6302</b>		<b>6602</b>	



Some agencies listed more than one premise per incident which may result in more locations reported than aggravated assaults.

	2012	2011	2010
<b>Public</b>	1618	1425	1656
<b>Residence</b>	3867	3907	3904
<b>Business</b>	686	692	735
<b>Other</b>	289	278	307
<b>Total</b>	<b>6460</b>	<b>6302</b>	<b>6602</b>



# Burglary

**DEFINITION:** The unlawful entry into a building or other structure with the intent to commit a felony, a theft, or a sexual battery.

## SUMMARY

13,912 burglaries were reported by law enforcement in 2012

Burglaries accounted for 22.1% of all property index crimes and 19.8% of all index crimes.

1,106 burglaries were cleared by either an arrest, death of the offender, or refusal by county attorney to prosecute. This shows a clearance rate of 8.0%.

Burglaries occurred most frequently at a residence (71.3%), followed by business (17.7%).

The property loss attributed to burglaries in 2012 amounted to \$29,040,639 which was a decrease of 1.5% from almost \$29.5 million dollars reported in 2011. Jewelry/Precious Metals accounted for 21.7% of total property loss followed by Electronics at 16.1%.

### **Victim Characteristics:**

Victims can be individuals, financial organizations, government, religious organizations or businesses. Individuals made up 85.3%; Businesses 12.2%; Government 1.4%; Others 0.9%; and Financial 0.2%

The most common age group of individual burglary victims in 2012 were 20-29 year olds. They made up 22.7% of all burglaries.

Males accounted for 57.1% of individual burglary victims. Females were 42.4%.

Racial breakdowns for burglary victims were: White 88.6%, Black 8.5%, Asian 1.3%, Native Indian 0.3% and Unknown 1.3%. Hispanic ethnicity was listed in 8.1% of the victims reported.


### **Suspect Characteristics:**

The most common age group of burglary suspects in 2012 were Unknowns at 68.5%. They were followed by 20-29 year olds at 11.2% of all burglary suspects.

Males accounted for 29.0% of burglary suspects, females 6.4% and unknowns made up 64.7%.


Racial breakdowns for burglary suspects were: Unknown 65.8%; White 26.7%, Black 7.2%; Asian 0.1%; Native Indian 0.2%. Hispanic ethnicity was reported in 3.4% of burglary suspects.

## Burglary Offenses By Month



	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC
2012	1170	972	1151	1128	1295	1141	1136	1214	1119	1249	1158	1179
2011	1051	775	1061	1093	1182	1143	1274	1295	1238	1190	1193	1159
2010	947	786	1107	1316	1385	1276	1419	1288	1234	1274	1136	1055


## Burglary Offenses By Day of the Week





	SUN	MON	TUE	WED	THU	FRI	SAT
2012	1732	1998	1901	1980	2014	2251	2036
2011	1658	1968	1881	1936	1978	2253	1980
2010	1727	2065	2001	2010	2047	2396	1977

## Burglary Offenses By Time of Day

Time	2012	2011	2010
00:00-01:59	1364	1339	1323
02:00-03:59	891	924	909
04:00-05:59	948	906	957
06:00-07:59	1322	1227	1347
08:00-09:59	1760	1815	1827
10:00-11:59	1269	1280	1380
12:00-13:59	1543	1513	1569
14:00-15:59	902	875	839
16:00-17:59	1274	1238	1345
18:00-19:59	1017	1004	1065
20:00-21:59	808	781	856
22:00-23:59	814	752	806
<b>Total</b>	<b>13912</b>	<b>13654</b>	<b>14223</b>



Day—6am to 2pm  
 Evening—2pm to 10pm  
 Night—10pm to 6am




## Burglary Victims: Age, Sex, Race

Age	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
0-9	169	1.1%	203	1.4%	195	1.3%
10-19	877	5.9%	1006	7.0%	870	6.0%
20-29	3393	22.7%	3410	23.9%	3538	24.5%
30-39	2622	17.5%	2430	17.0%	2485	17.2%
40-49	2483	16.6%	2429	17.0%	2491	17.2%
50-59	2443	16.3%	2325	16.3%	2354	16.3%
60-69	1599	10.7%	1340	9.4%	1316	9.1%
70+	1170	7.8%	944	6.6%	1004	6.9%
Unknown	213	1.4%	194	1.4%	217	1.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>14969</b>		<b>14281</b>		<b>14470</b>	

Gender	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Male	8554	57.1%	8225	57.6%	8433	58.3%
Female	6347	42.4%	6007	42.1%	5984	41.4%
Unknown	68	0.5%	49	0.3%	53	0.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>14969</b>		<b>14281</b>		<b>14470</b>	



Race	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Asian	194	1.3%	236	1.7%	176	1.2%
Black	1279	8.5%	1322	9.3%	1400	9.7%
Indian	48	0.3%	58	0.4%	54	0.4%
White	13259	88.6%	12500	87.5%	12657	87.5%
Unknown	189	1.3%	165	1.2%	183	1.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>14969</b>		<b>14281</b>		<b>14470</b>	

Victim Type	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Government	237	1.4%	287	1.7%	302	1.7%
Financial	37	0.2%	31	0.2%	36	0.2%
Business	2148	12.2%	2267	13.3%	2444	14.0%
Individual	14969	85.3%	14281	83.9%	14470	83.1%
Other	159	0.9%	162	1.0%	165	0.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>17550</b>		<b>17028</b>		<b>17417</b>	




### FACTS:

- The typical burglary victim is a white male between the ages of 20-29.
- In 2012, 8.1% of burglary victims were of Hispanic ethnicity.
- In 2012, 15.4% of the incidents reported were businesses.
- In 2012, 12.2% of victims reported were businesses; 1.4% were government organizations.



## Burglary Suspect: Age, Sex, Race






Age	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
0-9	11	0.1%	20	0.1%	2	0.0%
10-19	1323	8.5%	1642	10.6%	1710	10.6%
20-29	1754	11.2%	1671	10.8%	1882	11.6%
30-39	1026	6.6%	827	5.4%	907	5.6%
40-49	538	3.4%	573	3.7%	578	3.6%
50-59	230	1.5%	201	1.3%	205	1.3%
60-69	34	0.2%	39	0.3%	36	0.2%
70+	11	0.1%	9	0.1%	9	0.1%
Unknown	10715	68.5%	10463	67.7%	10849	67.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>15642</b>		<b>15445</b>		<b>16178</b>	

Gender	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Male	4520	28.9%	4565	29.6%	5017	31.0%
Female	1002	6.4%	942	6.1%	934	5.8%
Unknown	10120	64.7%	9938	64.3%	10227	63.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>15642</b>		<b>15445</b>		<b>16178</b>	

Race	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Asian	14	0.1%	26	0.2%	55	0.3%
Black	1124	7.2%	1193	7.7%	1328	8.2%
Indian	29	0.2%	24	0.2%	28	0.2%
White	4179	26.7%	4112	26.6%	4404	27.2%
Unknown	10296	65.8%	10090	65.3%	10363	64.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>15642</b>		<b>15445</b>		<b>16178</b>	


### FACTS:

- The typical known burglary suspect is a white male between the ages of 20-29.
- In 2012, 3.4% of known burglary suspects listed were of Hispanic ethnicity.
- Charts listed below include only known suspects.



## Burglary Offenses: Premise Location

	2012		2011		2010	
Abandon Structure	140	1.0%	185	1.4%	153	1.1%
Single Residence	7964	57.2%	7638	55.9%	8018	56.3%
Duplex/Apartment	1856	13.3%	1995	14.6%	1988	14.0%
Nursing Home/Hospital	23	0.2%	27	0.2%	35	0.2%
Dormitory	80	0.6%	91	0.7%	72	0.5%
College/University	67	0.5%	69	0.5%	51	0.4%
Public/Private School	81	0.6%	111	0.8%	104	0.7%
Church	108	0.8%	112	0.8%	108	0.8%
Govt Building/Jail	89	0.6%	102	0.7%	98	0.7%
Bank	6	0.0%	8	0.1%	10	0.1%
Drug Store/Dr Office	53	0.4%	42	0.3%	48	0.3%
Commercial Office	598	4.3%	596	4.4%	657	4.6%
Retail Store	640	4.6%	665	4.9%	753	5.3%
Tavern/Bar	58	0.4%	87	0.6%	77	0.5%
Restaurant	144	1.0%	154	1.1%	173	1.2%
Hotel/Motel/Inn	82	0.6%	79	0.6%	83	0.6%
Park Bldgs	52	0.4%	64	0.5%	79	0.6%
Rental Storage	514	3.7%	455	3.3%	410	2.9%
Temporary Structure	1302	9.4%	1127	8.3%	1261	8.9%
Recreational Facility	38	0.3%	33	0.2%	38	0.3%
Other	17	0.1%	14	0.1%	16	0.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>13912</b>		<b>13654</b>		<b>14232</b>	



Some agencies listed more than one premise per incident which may result in more locations reported than burglaries.

	2012	2011	2010
<b>Business</b>	2465	2502	2595
<b>Residence</b>	9915	9741	10104
<b>Other</b>	1532	1411	1533
<b>Total</b>	<b>13912</b>	<b>13654</b>	<b>14232</b>

## Burglary Offenses: Property Loss


	2012		2011		2010	
Cash/Currency	\$1,939,626	6.68%	\$1,712,187	5.81%	\$ 1,840,276	6.55%
Gift/Phone Cards	\$10,603	0.04%	\$1,699	0.01%	\$ 7,668	0.03%
Jewelry/Precious Metals	\$6,311,069	21.73%	\$4,863,917	16.51%	\$ 4,079,946	14.53%
Clothing	\$631,559	2.17%	\$495,219	1.68%	\$ 529,823	1.89%
Vehicle	\$3,147,949	10.84%	\$2,820,923	9.57%	\$ 3,481,278	12.40%
Computer/Office Equip	\$2,352,357	8.10%	\$2,219,595	7.53%	\$ 2,294,647	8.17%
Electronic Equipment	\$4,679,959	16.12%	\$5,333,680	18.10%	\$ 5,359,686	19.09%
Firearms/Weapons	\$1,221,975	4.21%	\$984,195	3.34%	\$ 1,042,541	3.71%
Household Goods*	\$1,529,232	5.27%	\$3,698,318	12.55%	\$ 1,793,510	6.39%
Alcohol/Tobacco	\$65,266	0.22%	\$130,276	0.44%	\$ 112,608	0.40%
Food/Drink	\$43,516	0.15%	\$43,737	0.15%	\$ 46,433	0.17%
Health & Beauty	\$48,260	0.17%	\$84,623	0.29%	\$ 65,479	0.23%
Drugs	\$118,699	0.41%	\$88,350	0.30%	\$ 76,988	0.27%
Livestock/Pets	\$31,266	0.11%	\$27,439	0.09%	\$ 42,851	0.15%
Building Materials	\$4,140,456	14.26%	\$3,675,199	12.47%	\$ 3,904,685	13.91%
Agricultural Equip	\$197,210	0.68%	\$363,392	1.23%	\$ 545,545	1.94%
Lawn and Garden Supply	\$464,321	1.60%	\$388,728	1.32%	\$ 658,014	2.34%
Keys	\$26,868	0.09%	\$17,871	0.06%	\$ 14,974	0.05%
Bicycle/ Bike Parts	\$102,563	0.35%	\$89,618	0.30%	\$ 83,397	0.30%
Miscellaneous	\$1,977,885	6.81%	\$2,428,135	8.24%	\$ 2,098,493	7.47%
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$29,040,639</b>		<b>\$ 29,467,101</b>		<b>\$ 28,078,842</b>	

## Burglary Offenses: Property Loss Top 10


2012		2011		2010	
Jewelry/Metals	\$6,311,069	Electronics	\$5,333,680	Electronics	\$ 5,359,686
Electronics	\$4,679,959	Jewelry/Metals	\$4,863,917	Jewelry/Metals	\$ 4,079,946
Building Materials	\$4,140,456	Household Goods*	\$3,698,318	Building Materials	\$ 3,904,685
Vehicle	\$3,147,949	Building Materials	\$3,675,199	Vehicle	\$ 3,481,278
Computer/Office	\$2,352,357	Vehicle	\$2,820,923	Computer/Office	\$ 2,294,647
Miscellaneous	\$1,977,885	Miscellaneous	\$2,428,135	Miscellaneous	\$ 2,098,493
Cash/Currency	\$1,939,626	Computer/Office	\$2,219,595	Cash/Currency	\$ 1,840,276
Household Goods	\$1,529,232	Cash/Currency	\$1,712,187	Household Goods	\$ 1,793,510
Firearms/Weapons	\$1,221,975	Firearms/Weapons	\$984,195	Firearms/Weapon	\$ 1,042,541
Clothing	\$631,559	Clothing	\$495,219	Lawn Garden Sup	\$ 658,014

\* in 2011, one case involved a large sum of stolen collectibles resulting in significant increase


## Burglary Offenses: Property Loss Trends



- While copper thefts have been on the rise for several years., 2012 did see a decline in the value stolen in burglaries
- 2012 saw a 42% decrease in the value of copper reported stolen during a burglary.
- Since 2009, the increase in reported copper values was 409%.



- An increase in the value of cell phones led to a 170% increase in stolen property value since 2009.
- 2012 saw a 54% increase in value of cell phones stolen in burglaries.



- Values of iPod and Mp3 players stolen in burglaries has historically increased each year.
- While 2010 reversed that trend with a 6.2% drop, 2011 and 2012 saw an increase in property value.
- Since 2009 the value of stolen iPod and MP3 players has increased 6.6%



# Larceny/Theft

**DEFINITION:** The unlawful taking, carrying, leading, or riding away of property from the possession, or constructive possession, of another person.

## SUMMARY

49,342 larcenies were reported by law enforcement in 2012.

Larcenies accounted for 55.0% of all property index crimes and 49.1% of all index crimes.

7,241 larcenies were cleared by either an arrest, death of the offender, or refusal by county attorney to prosecute. This shows a clearance rate of 14.7%.

Larcenies occurred most frequently at a business (42.8%), followed by residence (41.2%).

The property loss attributed to larcenies in 2012 amounted to \$32,713,033 which was a decrease of 16.8% from the over \$39.0 million dollars reported in 2011. Miscellaneous accounted for 18.8% of total property loss followed by Jewelry/Precious Metals at 16.1%. Copper thefts accounted for 25.2% of jewelry/precious metal thefts.

All Other Theft made up 31.1% of theft types followed by Theft from a Motor Vehicle at 23.3%.

## Victim Characteristics:

Victims can be individuals, financial organizations, government, religious organizations or businesses. Individuals made up 69.4%; Businesses 27.8%; Government 2.0%; Others 0.4%.

The most common age group of individual larceny victims in 2012 were 20-29 year olds. They made up 24.2% of all larcenies.

Males accounted for 51.9% of individual larceny victims. Females were 47.9%.

Racial breakdowns for larceny victims were: White 89.7%, Black 7.7%, Asian 1.2%, Native Indian 0.5% and Unknown 0.9%. Hispanic ethnicity was reported in 7.2% of the victims reported.


## Suspect Characteristics:

The most common age group of larceny suspects in 2012 were Unknowns at 58.8%. They were followed by 20-29 year olds at 13.8% of all larceny suspects.

Males accounted for 28.6% of larceny suspects, females 18.1% and unknowns made up 53.2%.


Racial breakdowns for larceny suspects were: Unknown 54.3%; White 35.0%, Black 10.2%; Asian 0.3%; Native Indian 0.3%. Hispanic ethnicity was reported in 4.3% of larceny suspects.

## Larceny Offenses By Month



	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC
2012	4029	3469	3949	4139	4406	4475	4569	4353	4148	4149	3953	3703
2011	3137	2595	3573	3853	4108	4174	4562	4355	4315	4389	3995	3820
2010	3430	2840	3555	3872	4296	4359	4381	4348	3976	4093	3665	3538


## Larceny Offenses By Day of the Week





	SUN	MON	TUE	WED	THU	FRI	SAT
2012	6548	6900	6836	7096	6838	7856	7268
2011	5805	6458	6542	6642	6716	7793	6920
2010	5728	6736	6362	6592	6479	7600	6856

## Larceny Offenses By Time of Day

Time	2012	2011	2010
00:00-01:59	5046	4638	4621
02:00-03:59	3201	2948	2654
04:00-05:59	2896	2449	2427
06:00-07:59	3547	3188	3065
08:00-09:59	6331	5937	5825
10:00-11:59	4901	4737	4477
12:00-13:59	5525	5110	5205
14:00-15:59	3669	3574	3561
16:00-17:59	4353	4358	4338
18:00-19:59	3758	3824	3817
20:00-21:59	3298	3288	3342
22:00-23:59	2817	2825	3021
<b>Total</b>	<b>49342</b>	<b>46876</b>	<b>46353</b>




Day—6am to 2pm  
 Evening—2pm to 10pm  
 Night—10pm to 6am




## Larceny Offenses By Theft Type

	2012		2011		2010	
<b>Pick Pocket</b>	79	0.2%	88	0.2%	90	0.2%
<b>Purse Snatching</b>	157	0.3%	160	0.3%	184	0.4%
<b>Shoplifting</b>	8262	16.7%	7082	15.1%	6608	14.3%
<b>From A Building</b>	9605	19.5%	7556	16.1%	6208	13.4%
<b>Coin Machine</b>	131	0.3%	182	0.4%	144	0.3%
<b>From A Motor Vehicle</b>	11495	23.3%	11281	24.1%	11152	24.1%
<b>Motor Vehicle Parts</b>	4291	8.7%	4483	9.6%	4554	9.8%
<b>All Other</b>	15322	31.1%	16044	34.2%	17413	37.6%
<b>Total</b>	49342		46876		46353	



## Larceny Victims: Age, Sex, Race



Age	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
0-9	260	0.7%	206	0.6%	225	0.6%
10-19	3744	10.1%	3793	10.6%	3893	10.9%
20-29	9019	24.2%	8997	25.1%	9182	25.6%
30-39	6831	18.3%	6430	17.9%	6491	18.1%
40-49	5886	15.8%	5793	16.2%	5894	16.5%
50-59	5523	14.8%	5281	14.7%	4970	13.9%
60-69	3313	8.9%	2859	8.0%	2692	7.5%
70+	2251	6.0%	2042	5.7%	2053	5.7%
Unknown	405	1.1%	454	1.3%	421	1.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>37232</b>		<b>35855</b>		<b>35821</b>	



Gender	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Male	19325	51.9%	18729	52.2%	18767	52.4%
Female	17817	47.9%	17005	47.4%	16958	47.3%
Unknown	90	0.2%	121	0.3%	96	0.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>37232</b>		<b>35855</b>		<b>35821</b>	

Race	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Asian	452	1.2%	423	1.2%	422	1.2%
Black	2860	7.7%	2828	7.9%	2604	7.3%
Indian	184	0.5%	148	0.4%	152	0.4%
White	33401	89.7%	32037	89.4%	32268	90.1%
Unknown	335	0.9%	419	1.2%	375	1.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>37232</b>		<b>35855</b>		<b>35821</b>	


Victim Type	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Government	1074	2.0%	951	1.9%	851	1.7%
Financial	170	0.3%	157	0.3%	199	0.4%
Business	14936	27.8%	13907	27.2%	13564	26.8%
Individual	37232	69.4%	35855	70.2%	35821	70.8%
Other	239	0.4%	208	0.4%	174	0.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>53651</b>		<b>51078</b>		<b>50609</b>	

### FACTS:

- The typical theft victim is a white male between the ages of 20-29.
- In 2012, 7.2% of theft victims were of Hispanic ethnicity.
- In 2012, 30.3% of the incidents reported were businesses.
- In 2012, 27.8% of victims reported were businesses; 2.0% were financial institutions.



## Larceny Suspect: Age, Sex, Race



Age	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
0-9	65	0.1%	44	0.1%	28	0.1%
10-19	6156	11.1%	6626	12.6%	6891	13.3%
20-29	7613	13.8%	6934	13.2%	6560	12.7%
30-39	4553	8.2%	3688	7.0%	3587	6.9%
40-49	2819	5.1%	2515	4.8%	2293	4.4%
50-59	1265	2.3%	1146	2.2%	1001	1.9%
60-69	286	0.5%	253	0.5%	200	0.4%
70+	80	0.1%	59	0.1%	68	0.1%
Unknown	32519	58.7%	31267	59.5%	31147	60.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>55356</b>		<b>52532</b>		<b>51775</b>	

Gender	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Male	15865	28.7%	15027	28.6%	14647	28.3%
Female	10042	18.1%	8971	17.1%	8562	16.5%
Unknown	29449	53.2%	28534	54.3%	28566	55.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>55356</b>		<b>52532</b>		<b>51775</b>	

Race	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Asian	155	0.3%	222	0.4%	159	0.3%
Black	5627	10.2%	5122	9.8%	4806	9.3%
Indian	164	0.3%	177	0.3%	153	0.3%
White	19364	35.0%	17970	34.2%	17604	34.0%
Unknown	30046	54.3%	29041	55.3%	29053	56.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>55356</b>		<b>52532</b>		<b>51775</b>	


### FACTS:

- The typical known larceny suspect is a white male between the ages of 20-29.
- In 2012, 4.3% of known larceny suspects were of Hispanic ethnicity.
- Charts listed below include only known suspects.


### Gender



### Race



### Age




## Larceny Offenses: Premise Location

	2012		2011		2010	
Parking Lot	1244	2.5%	1673	3.6%	1913	4.1%
City Street/Alley	2958	6.0%	3083	6.6%	3151	6.8%
Rural Road/Highway	456	0.9%	419	0.9%	473	1.0%
Abandon Structure	57	0.1%	132	0.3%	134	0.3%
Single Residence	15993	32.4%	15134	32.3%	15297	33.0%
Duplex/Apartment	3783	7.7%	3812	8.1%	3442	7.4%
Nursing Home/Hospital	706	1.4%	615	1.3%	552	1.2%
Dormitory	182	0.4%	160	0.3%	150	0.3%
College/University	594	1.2%	506	1.1%	532	1.1%
Public/Private School	1306	2.6%	1272	2.7%	1373	3.0%
Church	357	0.7%	282	0.6%	255	0.5%
Govt Building/Jail	519	1.1%	451	1.0%	423	0.9%
Bank	164	0.3%	190	0.4%	226	0.5%
Drug Store/Dr Office	201	0.4%	171	0.4%	163	0.4%
Commercial Office	1951	4.0%	1757	3.7%	1415	3.1%
Retail Store	13105	26.6%	11913	25.4%	11791	25.4%
Tavern/Bar	647	1.3%	659	1.4%	705	1.5%
Restaurant	1062	2.2%	843	1.8%	799	1.7%
Hotel/Motel/Inn	717	1.5%	639	1.4%	476	1.0%
Park Bldgs	605	1.2%	535	1.1%	500	1.1%
Rental Storage	159	0.3%	151	0.3%	140	0.3%
Lake/Fields	803	1.6%	717	1.5%	644	1.4%
Temporary Structure	145	0.3%	161	0.3%	125	0.3%
Recreational Facility	589	1.2%	593	1.3%	577	1.2%
Other	1039	2.1%	1037	2.2%	1126	2.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>49342</b>		<b>46905</b>		<b>46382</b>	

	2012	2011	2010
Public	6097	6457	6699
Residence	20342	19436	19171
Business	21121	19137	18559
Other	1782	1875	1953
<b>Total</b>	<b>49342</b>	<b>46905</b>	<b>46382</b>

Some agencies reported more than one premise code resulting in a higher number of locations reported than incidents.



## Larceny Offenses: Property Loss

	2012		2011		2010	
Cash/Currency	\$2,970,484	9.08%	\$2,608,279	6.63%	\$ 2,762,250	9.35%
Gift/Phone Cards	\$77,006	0.24%	\$26,200	0.07%	\$ 30,401	0.10%
Jewelry/Precious Metals	\$5,251,036	16.05%	\$10,610,629	26.98%	\$ 3,804,380	12.88%
Clothing	\$1,240,122	3.79%	\$1,120,101	2.85%	\$ 1,157,868	3.92%
Vehicle	\$646,635	1.98%	\$705,663	1.79%	\$ 724,341	2.45%
Computer/Office Equip	\$1,515,424	4.63%	\$2,143,589	5.45%	\$ 2,338,932	7.92%
Electronic Equipment	\$4,595,089	14.05%	\$4,217,501	10.73%	\$ 4,146,807	14.04%
Firearms/Weapons	\$496,746	1.52%	\$361,537	0.92%	\$ 448,540	1.52%
Household Goods *	\$2,020,101	6.18%	\$2,946,540	7.49%	\$ 1,036,341	3.51%
Consumable Goods	\$593,196	1.81%	\$480,486	1.22%	\$ 399,883	1.35%
Drugs	\$114,417	0.35%	\$109,728	0.28%	\$ 112,358	0.38%
Livestock/Pets	\$369,230	1.13%	\$399,185	1.02%	\$ 294,407	1.00%
Building Materials	\$4,929,883	15.07%	\$5,535,122	14.08%	\$ 4,610,437	15.61%
Agricultural Equip	\$752,236	2.30%	\$1,435,901	3.65%	\$ 924,475	3.13%
Lawn and Garden Supplie	\$510,623	1.56%	\$645,418	1.64%	\$ 702,471	2.38%
Bicycle/ Bike Parts	\$493,513	1.51%	\$378,254	0.96%	\$ 376,496	1.27%
Miscellaneous	\$6,137,292	18.76%	\$5,599,727	14.24%	\$ 5,672,660	19.20%
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$32,713,033</b>		<b>\$ 39,323,860</b>		<b>\$ 29,543,047</b>	


## Larceny Offenses: Property Loss Top 10

2012		2011		2010	
Miscellaneous	\$6,137,292	Jewelry/Metals	\$10,610,629	Miscellaneous	\$ 5,672,660
Jewelry/Metals	\$5,251,036	Miscellaneous	\$5,599,727	Building Materials	\$ 4,610,437
Building Materials	\$4,929,883	Building Materials	\$5,535,122	Electronic Equip	\$ 4,146,807
Electronic Equip	\$4,595,089	Electronic Equip	\$4,217,501	Jewelry/Metals	\$ 3,804,380
Cash/Currency	\$2,970,484	Household Goods *	\$2,946,540	Cash/Currency	\$ 2,762,250
Household Goods	\$2,020,101	Cash/Currency	\$2,608,279	Computer/Office	\$ 2,338,932
Computer/Office	\$1,515,424	Computer/Office	\$2,143,589	Clothing	\$ 1,157,868
Clothing	\$1,240,122	Agricultural Equip	\$1,435,901	Household Goods	\$ 1,036,341
Agricultural Equip	\$752,236	Clothing	\$1,120,101	Agricultural Equip	\$ 924,475
Vehicle	\$646,635	Vehicle	\$705,663	Vehicle	\$ 724,341
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$30,058,302</b>		<b>\$36,923,052</b>		<b>\$27,178,491</b>


\* in 2011, one case involved a large sum of stolen collectibles resulting in significant increase




## Larceny Offenses: Property Loss Trending



- Copper thefts have increased for several years.
- 2011 saw a 775% increase in the value of copper reported stolen compared to 2010.
- 2012 saw a 80.6% drop from 2011 but still remained higher than previous years
- Since 2009, the increase in reported copper values stolen was 601.5%.



- An increase in the value of cell phones led to a 80.6% increase in property value between 2009 and 2012.
- 2012 saw a 21.9% increase in value of cell phones stolen in larcenies as compared to 2011.



- Values of I-Pod and Mp3 players stolen in larcenies have historically increased each year.
- 2011 and 2012 reversed that trend with a 3.0% drop in 2011 and 16.7% drop in 2012. This is most likely associated with reductions in the cost of these items.

# Motor Vehicle Theft

**DEFINITION:** The theft of a motor vehicle. A “motor vehicle” is a self-propelled vehicle that runs on the surface of the land and not on rails.

## SUMMARY

4,407 motor vehicle thefts were reported by law enforcement in 2012 with a value of \$26,432,428. This was an increase of 3.9% in incidents and 3.2% in value from 2011.

Motor vehicle thefts accounted for 6.2% of all property index crimes and 5.6% of all index crimes.

585 motor vehicle thefts were cleared by either an arrest, death of the offender, or refusal by county attorney to prosecute. This shows a clearance rate of 13.3%.

Motor vehicle thefts occurred most frequently at a residence (58.6%), followed by business (21.2%).

Automobiles made up 61.9% of the motor vehicle thefts in 2012 followed by Trucks (pick-up) (19.9%), and Motorcycles (10.8%).

### **Victim Characteristics:**

Victims can be individuals, financial organizations, government, religious organizations or businesses. Individuals made up 89.7%; Businesses 9.3%; Other 1.0%

The most common age group of individual motor vehicle theft victims in 2012 were 20-29 year olds. They made up 25.1% of all motor vehicle thefts.

Males accounted for 62.6% of individual motor vehicle theft victims. Females were 37.0%.

Racial breakdowns for motor vehicle theft victims were: White 87.5%, Black 9.7%, Asian 1.5%, Native Indian 0.3% and Unknown 1.0%. Hispanic ethnicity was reported in 10.6% of the victims reported.


### **Suspect Characteristics:**

The most common age group of motor vehicle theft suspects in 2012 were Unknowns at 59.3%. They were followed by 20-29 year olds at 14.1% of all motor vehicle theft suspects.

Males accounted for 34.7% of motor vehicle suspects, females 10.7% and unknowns made up 54.6%.


Racial breakdowns for motor vehicle theft suspects were: Unknown 56.1%; White 36.7%, Black 6.7%; Asian 0.1%; Native Indian 0.4%. Hispanic ethnicity was reported in 3.8% of motor vehicle theft suspects.

## Motor Vehicle Thefts By Month



	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC
2012	325	330	346	308	318	384	419	380	406	436	379	376
2011	324	255	292	313	349	369	415	440	368	387	397	331
2010	306	216	273	302	293	358	369	392	314	324	287	328


## Motor Vehicle Thefts By Day of the Week





	SUN	MON	TUE	WED	THU	FRI	SAT
2012	633	587	546	587	604	699	751
2011	591	562	608	548	597	674	660
2010	490	518	487	502	521	640	604

## Motor Vehicle Thefts By Time of Day

Time	2012	2011	2010
00:00-01:59	470	384	372
02:00-03:59	362	356	319
04:00-05:59	308	347	251
06:00-07:59	417	465	363
08:00-09:59	555	557	493
10:00-11:59	532	525	453
12:00-13:59	384	369	365
14:00-15:59	145	142	141
16:00-17:59	281	240	204
18:00-19:59	257	255	256
20:00-21:59	299	277	242
22:00-23:59	397	323	303
<b>Total</b>	<b>4407</b>	<b>4240</b>	<b>3762</b>




Day—6am to 2pm  
 Evening—2pm to 10pm  
 Night—10pm to 6am




## Motor Vehicle Theft By Vehicle Type

	2012		2011		2010	
<b>Aircraft</b>	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Auto/SUV/Van</b>	2726	61.9%	2726	64.3%	2294	61.0%
<b>Watercraft</b>	2	0.0%	3	0.1%	4	0.1%
<b>Buses</b>	1	0.0%	2	0.0%	1	0.0%
<b>Motorcycle/Moped</b>	475	10.8%	381	9.0%	369	9.8%
<b>Truck (Pick up)</b>	876	19.9%	824	19.4%	745	19.8%
<b>Recreational Vehicle</b>	88	2.0%	74	1.7%	110	2.9%
<b>Semi-Tractor</b>	31	0.7%	36	0.8%	23	0.6%
<b>Misc (ATV, golf, go cart)</b>	208	4.7%	194	4.6%	216	5.7%
<b>Total</b>	4407		4240		3762	



## Motor Vehicle Theft Victims: Age, Sex, Race



Age	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
0-9	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
10-19	167	4.0%	144	3.5%	147	4.1%
20-29	1057	25.0%	1065	25.9%	917	25.5%
30-39	887	21.0%	899	21.9%	790	22.0%
40-49	776	18.4%	787	19.1%	717	19.9%
50-59	731	17.3%	675	16.4%	569	15.8%
60-69	360	8.5%	323	7.9%	273	7.6%
70+	193	4.6%	161	3.9%	141	3.9%
Unknown	49	1.2%	59	1.4%	41	1.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>4220</b>		<b>4113</b>		<b>3595</b>	



Gender	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Male	2642	62.6%	2547	61.8%	2212	61.4%
Female	1560	37.0%	1560	37.9%	1381	38.4%
Unknown	18	0.4%	12	0.3%	7	0.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>4220</b>		<b>4119</b>		<b>3600</b>	

Race	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Asian	63	1.5%	74	1.8%	59	1.6%
Black	408	9.7%	408	9.9%	382	10.6%
Indian	14	0.3%	16	0.4%	17	0.5%
White	3691	87.5%	3581	86.9%	3120	86.7%
Unknown	44	1.0%	40	1.0%	22	0.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>4220</b>		<b>4119</b>		<b>3600</b>	


Victim Type	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Government	37	0.8%	18	0.4%	30	0.8%
Financial	4	0.1%	3	0.1%	6	0.2%
Business	436	9.3%	386	8.5%	353	8.8%
Individual	4220	89.7%	4119	90.9%	3600	90.2%
Other	7	0.1%	4	0.1%	4	0.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>4704</b>		<b>4530</b>		<b>3993</b>	

### FACTS:

- The typical motor vehicle theft victim is a white male between the ages of 20-29.
- In 2012, 10.6% of motor vehicle theft victims were of Hispanic ethnicity.



## Motor Vehicle Theft Suspect: Age, Sex, Race



Age	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
0-9	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
10-19	434	8.8%	380	8.2%	377	9.2%
20-29	694	14.1%	668	14.4%	578	14.1%
30-39	508	10.3%	367	7.9%	345	8.4%
40-49	273	5.5%	228	4.9%	208	5.1%
50-59	82	1.7%	79	1.7%	79	1.9%
60-69	14	0.3%	8	0.2%	8	0.2%
70+	1	0.0%	3	0.1%	2	0.0%
Unknown	2929	59.3%	2893	62.5%	2515	61.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>4936</b>		<b>4626</b>		<b>4112</b>	

Gender	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Male	1714	34.7%	1503	32.5%	1394	33.9%
Female	527	10.7%	407	8.8%	361	8.8%
Unknown	2695	54.6%	2716	58.7%	2357	57.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>4936</b>		<b>4626</b>		<b>4112</b>	

Race	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Asian	5	0.1%	7	0.2%	7	0.2%
Black	330	6.7%	295	6.4%	340	8.3%
Indian	20	0.4%	13	0.3%	14	0.3%
White	1811	36.7%	1550	33.5%	1356	33.0%
Unknown	2770	56.1%	2761	59.7%	2395	58.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>4936</b>		<b>4626</b>		<b>4112</b>	


### FACTS:

- The typical known motor vehicle suspect is a white male between the ages of 20-29.
- In 2012, 3.8% of motor vehicle suspects were of Hispanic ethnicity.
- Charts listed below include only known suspects.


### Gender



### Race



### Age




## Motor Vehicle Theft: Premise Location

	2012		2011		2010	
Parking Lot	188	4.3%	216	5.1%	226	6.0%
City Street/Alley	461	10.5%	524	12.4%	426	11.3%
Rural Road/Highway	61	1.4%	43	1.0%	60	1.6%
Abandon Structure	17	0.4%	17	0.4%	23	0.6%
Single Residence	1926	43.7%	1813	42.7%	1697	45.1%
Duplex/Apartment	646	14.7%	642	15.1%	511	13.6%
Nursing Home/Hospital	31	0.7%	25	0.6%	19	0.5%
Dormitory	8	0.2%	1	0.0%	2	0.1%
College/University	25	0.6%	15	0.4%	12	0.3%
Public/Private School	13	0.3%	25	0.6%	11	0.3%
Church	14	0.3%	26	0.6%	15	0.4%
Govt Building/Jail	26	0.6%	20	0.5%	16	0.4%
Bank	7	0.2%	3	0.1%	6	0.2%
Drug Store/Dr Office	7	0.2%	2	0.0%	5	0.1%
Commercial Office	262	5.9%	206	4.9%	168	4.5%
Retail Store	366	8.3%	369	8.7%	290	7.7%
Tavern/Bar	52	1.2%	55	1.3%	59	1.6%
Restaurant	48	1.1%	44	1.0%	29	0.8%
Hotel/Motel/Inn	72	1.6%	55	1.3%	43	1.1%
Park Bldgs	15	0.3%	16	0.4%	10	0.3%
Rental Storage	14	0.3%	17	0.4%	10	0.3%
Lake/Fields	49	1.1%	42	1.0%	42	1.1%
Temporary Structure	26	0.6%	18	0.4%	15	0.4%
Recreational Facility	29	0.7%	13	0.3%	20	0.5%
Other	44	1.0%	35	0.8%	50	1.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>4407</b>		<b>4242</b>		<b>3765</b>	

	2012	2011	2010
Public	776	846	764
Residence	2584	2462	2214
Business	932	856	678
Other	115	78	109
<b>Total</b>	<b>4407</b>	<b>4242</b>	<b>3765</b>

Some agencies reported more than one premise code resulting in a higher number of premises reported than incidents.





## Motor Vehicle Theft: Property Loss

	2012		2011		2010	
Cash/Currency	\$69,071	0.25%	\$42,997	0.16%	\$23,012.00	0.10%
Gift/Phone Cards	\$716	0.00%	\$610	0.00%	\$62.00	0.00%
Jewelry/Precious Metals	\$44,457	0.16%	\$30,354	0.11%	\$78,508.00	0.33%
Clothing	\$35,723	0.13%	\$47,884	0.18%	\$42,479.00	0.18%
Vehicle	\$26,432,428	95.52%	\$25,547,193	94.97%	\$22,882,637.00	95.54%
Computer/Office Equip	\$45,916	0.17%	\$21,932	0.08%	\$34,845.00	0.15%
Electronic Equipment	\$134,229	0.49%	\$106,860	0.40%	\$91,710.00	0.38%
Firearms/Weapons	\$29,415	0.11%	\$18,282	0.07%	\$17,029.00	0.07%
Household Goods *	\$20,001	0.07%	\$27,102	0.10%	\$15,973.00	0.07%
Consumable Goods	\$6,486	0.02%	\$4,902	0.02%	\$15,789.00	0.07%
Drugs	\$895	0.00%	\$819	0.00%	\$74.00	0.00%
Livestock/Pets	\$1,100	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0.00	0.00%
Building Materials	\$1,100	0.00%	\$372,443	1.38%	\$280,521.00	1.17%
Agricultural Equip	\$339,310	1.23%	\$136,760	0.51%	\$28,701.00	0.12%
Lawn and Garden Supplie	\$26,761	0.10%	\$83,500	0.31%	\$36,579.00	0.15%
Miscellaneous	\$483,153	1.75%	\$457,457	1.70%	\$402,385.00	1.68%
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$27,670,761</b>		<b>\$ 26,899,095</b>		<b>\$ 23,950,304</b>	

These include items within the vehicle listed as stolen.

## Motor Vehicle Theft: Property Loss Top 10

2012		2011		2010	
Vehicle	\$26,432,428	Vehicle	\$25,547,193	Vehicle	\$22,882,637.00
Miscellaneous	\$483,153	Miscellaneous	\$457,457	Miscellaneous	\$402,385.00
Building Materials	\$339,310	Building Materials	\$372,443	Building Materials	\$280,521.00
Electronic Equip	\$134,229	Agricultural Equip	\$136,760	Electronic Equip	\$91,710.00
Lawn/Garden Sup	\$81,111	Electronic Equip	\$106,860	Jewelry/Metals	\$78,508.00
Cash/Currency	\$69,071	Lawn/Garden Sup	\$83,500	Clothing	\$42,479.00
Computer/Office	\$45,916	Clothing	\$47,884	Lawn/Garden Sup	\$36,579.00
Jewelry/Metals	\$44,457	Cash/Currency	\$42,997	Computer/Office	\$34,845.00
Clothing	\$35,723	Jewelry/Metals	\$30,354	Agricultural Equip	\$28,701.00
Firearms	\$29,415	Household Goods	\$27,102	Cash/Currency	\$23,012.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$27,694,813</b>		<b>\$26,852,550</b>		<b>\$23,901,377</b>

# Arson

**DEFINITION:** To unlawfully and intentionally damage, or attempt to damage, any real or personal property by fire, explosion or incendiary device.

## SUMMARY

689 arsons were reported by law enforcement in 2012 with a value of \$5,703,272. This was an increase of 1.6% in incidents and a decrease of 24.0% in value from 2011.

Arsons accounted for 1.0% of all property index crimes and 0.9% of all index crimes.

74 arsons were cleared by either an arrest, death of the offender, or refusal by county attorney to prosecute. This shows a clearance rate of 10.7%.

Arsons occurred most frequently at a residence (48.5%), followed by public place (34.8%).

Single Occupancy Dwellings made up 48.5% of the arsons in 2012 followed by Other Dwelling (19.1%), and Other Structure (15.7%).

Structures accounted for 69.6% of the arsons reported in 2012 followed by Vehicles 20.8% and Miscellaneous at 3.9%.

### **Victim Characteristics:**

Victims can be individuals, financial organizations, government, religious organizations or businesses. Individuals made up 73.3%; Businesses 11.9%; Government 12.1%.

The most common age group of individual arson victims in 2012 were 20-29 year olds. They made up 21.2% of arsons.

Males accounted for 61.9% of individual arson victims. Females were 37.0%.

Racial breakdowns for arson victims were: White 82.6%, Black 12.5%, Asian 2.5%, Native Indian 0.2% and Unknown 1.1%. Hispanic ethnicity was reported in 11.1% of the victims reported.


### **Suspect Characteristics:**

The most common age group of arson suspects in 2012 were Unknowns at 61.4%. They were followed by 10-19 year olds at 16.7% of all arson suspects.

Males accounted for 33.6% of arson suspects, females 5.3% and unknowns made up 61.1%.


Racial breakdowns for arson suspects were: Unknown 61.3%; White 32.7%, Black 5.6%; Asian 0.1%; Native Indian 0.3%. Hispanic ethnicity was reported in 3.5% of arson suspects.

## Arsons By Month



	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC
2012	63	43	60	61	50	50	109	63	40	54	58	38
2011	40	37	55	52	60	61	100	65	69	57	37	45
2010	39	44	60	47	57	58	85	61	61	54	52	46


## Arsons By Day of the Week





	SUN	MON	TUE	WED	THU	FRI	SAT
2012	130	104	77	87	72	107	112
2011	116	92	73	85	92	91	129
2010	112	102	101	83	67	99	100

## Arsons By Time of Day

Time	2012	2011	2010
00:00-01:59	90	108	100
02:00-03:59	84	84	91
04:00-05:59	58	59	55
06:00-07:59	34	34	47
08:00-09:59	44	43	36
10:00-11:59	50	37	49
12:00-13:59	47	47	52
14:00-15:59	38	34	33
16:00-17:59	56	50	36
18:00-19:59	53	43	38
20:00-21:59	60	75	59
22:00-23:59	75	64	68
<b>Total</b>	<b>689</b>	<b>678</b>	<b>664</b>




Day—6am to 2pm  
 Evening—2pm to 10pm  
 Night—10pm to 6am




## Arson By Structure

	2012		2011		2010	
<b>Single Occupancy Dwelling</b>	99	48.5%	142	51.1%	133	61.3%
<b>Other Dwelling</b>	39	19.1%	34	12.2%	23	10.6%
<b>Other Commercial</b>	15	7.4%	24	8.6%	7	3.2%
<b>Industrial/Manufacturing</b>	0	0.0%	1	0.4%	0	0.0%
<b>Public/Community Bldg</b>	17	8.3%	18	6.5%	16	7.4%
<b>Storage Facility</b>	2	1.0%	6	2.2%	6	2.8%
<b>Other Structures</b>	32	15.7%	53	19.1%	32	14.7%
<b>Total</b>	204		278		217	



## Arson Victims: Age, Sex, Race



Age	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
0-9	12	1.9%	24	3.5%	11	1.2%
10-19	28	4.4%	71	10.4%	44	4.9%
20-29	134	21.2%	113	16.5%	170	19.0%
30-39	131	20.7%	101	14.8%	160	17.9%
40-49	107	16.9%	136	19.9%	181	20.3%
50-59	108	17.1%	101	14.8%	159	17.8%
60-69	58	9.2%	70	10.2%	90	10.1%
70+	40	6.3%	30	4.4%	56	6.3%
Unknown	14	2.2%	38	5.6%	22	2.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>632</b>		<b>684</b>		<b>893</b>	



Gender	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Male	391	61.9%	404	59.1%	512	57.3%
Female	234	37.0%	269	39.3%	367	41.1%
Unknown	7	1.1%	11	1.6%	14	1.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>632</b>		<b>684</b>		<b>893</b>	

Race	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Asian	16	2.5%	12	1.8%	10	1.1%
Black	79	12.5%	78	11.4%	80	9.0%
Indian	1	0.2%	3	0.4%	1	0.1%
White	522	82.6%	572	83.6%	786	88.0%
Unknown	14	2.2%	19	2.8%	16	1.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>632</b>		<b>684</b>		<b>893</b>	


Victim Type	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Government	104	12.1%	91	9.8%	77	7.0%
Financial	5	0.6%	6	0.6%	3	0.3%
Business	103	11.9%	136	14.7%	108	9.8%
Individual	632	73.3%	684	74.0%	893	81.3%
Other	18	2.1%	7	0.8%	18	1.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>862</b>		<b>924</b>		<b>1099</b>	

### FACTS:

- The typical arson victim is a white male between the ages of 20-29.
- In 2012, 11.1% of arson victims were of Hispanic ethnicity.
- In 2012, 14.9% of the incidents reported were businesses.
- In 2012, 11.9% of victims reported were businesses; 12.1% were governmental entities.



## Arson Suspect: Age, Sex, Race



Age	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
0-9	12	1.6%	12	1.6%	4	0.5%
10-19	129	16.7%	164	21.3%	143	18.5%
20-29	56	7.2%	66	8.6%	78	10.1%
30-39	34	4.4%	35	4.5%	34	4.4%
40-49	18	2.3%	28	3.6%	21	2.7%
50-59	18	2.3%	11	1.4%	24	3.1%
60-69	13	1.7%	5	0.6%	2	0.3%
70+	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.3%
Unknown	494	63.8%	449	58.3%	465	60.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>774</b>		<b>770</b>		<b>773</b>	


Gender	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Male	260	33.6%	280	36.4%	287	37.1%
Female	41	5.3%	56	7.3%	41	5.3%
Unknown	473	61.1%	434	56.4%	445	57.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>774</b>		<b>770</b>		<b>773</b>	

Race	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Asian	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	7	0.9%
Black	43	5.6%	42	5.5%	45	5.8%
Indian	2	0.3%	2	0.3%	2	0.3%
White	253	32.7%	281	36.5%	266	34.4%
Unknown	475	61.4%	445	57.8%	453	58.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>774</b>		<b>770</b>		<b>773</b>	


### FACTS:

- The typical known arson suspect is a white male between the ages of 10-19.
- In 2012, 3.5% of known arson suspects were of Hispanic ethnicity.
- Charts listed below include only known suspects.


### Gender



### Race



### Age




## Arson: Premise Location

	2012		2011		2010	
Parking Lot	11	1.6%	9	1.3%	25	3.8%
City Street/Alley	66	9.6%	66	9.7%	72	10.8%
Rural Road/Highway	46	6.7%	36	5.3%	51	7.7%
Abandon Structure	20	2.9%	26	3.8%	25	3.8%
Single Residence	260	37.7%	271	39.9%	278	41.9%
Duplex/Apartment	70	10.2%	59	8.7%	43	6.5%
Nursing Home/Hospital	4	0.6%	6	0.9%	2	0.3%
Dormitory	3	0.4%	1	0.1%	3	0.5%
College/University	3	0.4%	0	0.0%	2	0.3%
Public/Private School	20	2.9%	26	3.8%	15	2.3%
Church	5	0.7%	4	0.6%	5	0.8%
Govt Building/Jail	4	0.6%	13	1.9%	5	0.8%
Bank	1	0.1%	2	0.3%	0	0.0%
Drug Store/Dr Office	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%
Commercial Office	17	2.5%	23	3.4%	16	2.4%
Retail Store	9	1.3%	11	1.6%	13	2.0%
Tavern/Bar	1	0.1%	3	0.4%	4	0.6%
Restaurant	3	0.4%	5	0.7%	3	0.5%
Hotel/Motel/Inn	3	0.4%	1	0.1%	3	0.5%
Park Bldgs	43	6.2%	41	6.0%	34	5.1%
Rental Storage	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	3	0.5%
Lake/Fields	74	10.7%	43	6.3%	49	7.4%
Temporary Structure	13	1.9%	18	2.6%	8	1.2%
Recreational Facility	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Other	12	1.7%	15	2.2%	4	0.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>689</b>		<b>680</b>		<b>664</b>	

	2012	2011	2010
Public	240	195	231
Residence	334	334	324
Business	70	90	71
Other	45	61	38
<b>Total</b>	<b>689</b>	<b>680</b>	<b>664</b>

Some agencies reported more than one premise code resulting in a higher number of premises reported than incidents.





## Arson: Property Loss

	2012		2011		2010	
Cash/Currency	\$40	0.00%	\$5	0.00%	\$0	0.00%
Gift/Phone Cards	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$800	0.01%
Jewelry/Precious Metals	\$3	0.00%	\$2,800	0.04%	\$200	0.00%
Clothing	\$4,364	0.08%	\$12,389	0.17%	\$3,642	0.05%
Vehicle	\$1,184,921	20.78%	\$867,587	11.57%	\$905,609	12.65%
Computer/Office Equip	\$753	0.01%	\$18,320	0.24%	\$2,596	0.04%
Electronic Equipment	\$1,560	0.03%	\$3,630	0.05%	\$1,226	0.02%
Household Goods	\$15,216	0.27%	\$92,700	1.24%	\$63,678	0.89%
Consumable Goods	\$58	0.00%	\$653	0.01%	\$207	0.00%
Building Materials	\$157,517	2.76%	\$212,048	2.83%	\$410,355	5.73%
Pets/Livestock	\$0	0.00%	\$200	0.00%	\$0	0.00%
Agricultural Equip	\$134,550	2.36%	\$139,506	1.86%	\$73,156	1.02%
Lawn and Garden Supplie	\$11,752	0.21%	\$38,823	0.52%	\$1,841	0.03%
Miscellaneous	\$220,853	3.87%	\$99,567	1.33%	\$99,568	1.39%
Structures	\$3,971,685	69.64%	\$6,013,598	80.16%	\$5,596,089	78.17%
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$5,703,272</b>		<b>\$7,501,826</b>		<b>\$7,158,967</b>	

## Arson: Property Loss Top 10

2012		2011		2010	
Structure	\$3,971,685	Structure	\$6,013,598	Structure	\$5,596,089
Vehicle	\$1,184,921	Vehicle	\$867,587	Vehicle	\$905,609
Miscellaneous	\$220,853	Building Materials	\$212,048	Building Materials	\$410,355
Building Materials	\$157,517	Agricultural Equip	\$139,506	Miscellaneous	\$99,568
Agricultural Equip	\$134,550	Miscellaneous	\$99,567	Agricultural Equip	\$73,156
Household Goods	\$15,216	Household Goods	\$92,700	Household Goods	\$63,678
Lawn/Garden Sup	\$11,752	Lawn/Garden Sup	\$38,823	Clothing	\$3,642
Clothing	\$4,364	Computer/Office	\$18,320	Computer/Office	\$2,596
Electronic Equip	\$1,560	Clothing	\$12,389	Lawn/Garden Sup	\$1,841
Computer/Office	\$753	Electronic Equip	\$3,630	Electronic Equip	\$1,226
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$5,703,171</b>		<b>\$7,498,168</b>		<b>\$7,157,760</b>

# Drug Offenses

**DEFINITION:** The unlawful cultivation, manufacture, distribution, sale, purchase, use, possession, transportation, or importation of any controlled substance or narcotic drug.

## SUMMARY

11,944 drug offenses were reported by law enforcement in 2012. This was a decrease of 0.4% in incidents from 2011.

Drug offenses include illegal possession, sale or manufacture of any controlled substance or narcotics.

7,514 drug offenses were cleared by either an arrest, death of the offender, or refusal by county attorney to prosecute. This shows a clearance rate of 62.9%.

Drug offenses occurred most frequently at a public place (55.3%), followed by residence (25.6%). With the most common being a city street.

Marijuana was most often cited drug seized at 57.1% down from 61.8% in 2011. It was followed by Methamphetamines at 16.2% up from 12.6% in 2011

March saw the most reported drug offenses while Friday was the most reported day of the week. Offenses were evenly spread throughout the day with daytime hours showing the highest number reported.

### **Victim Characteristics:**

Since drug offenses are a society crime, there are no victims reported.


### **Suspect Characteristics:**

The most common age group of drug offense suspects in 2012 were 20-29 year olds at 39.8%. They were followed by 10-19 year olds at 25.6% of all drug suspects.

Males accounted for 75.2% of drug suspects, females 24.4%.


Racial breakdowns for drug suspects were: White 78.9%, Black 19.1%; Asian 0.8%; Native Indian 0.6%, Unknown 0.6%. Hispanic ethnicity was reported in 11.9% of arson suspects.

## Drug Offenses By Month



	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC
2012	1089	1109	1205	1001	1032	878	884	967	863	1006	991	919
2011	989	963	1185	1145	985	980	921	944	987	1023	919	948
2010	995	989	1045	1137	1082	937	922	1020	906	1008	909	926


## Drug Offenses By Day of the Week





	SUN	MON	TUE	WED	THU	FRI	SAT
2012	1496	1454	1564	1769	1813	1994	1854
2011	1372	1365	1558	1844	1945	2074	1831
2010	1432	1462	1591	1746	1871	1999	1775

## Drug Offenses By Time of Day

Time	2012	2011	2010
00:00-01:59	1560	1553	1591
02:00-03:59	947	991	1036
04:00-05:59	415	449	398
06:00-07:59	648	623	629
08:00-09:59	1083	1102	1127
10:00-11:59	1326	1310	1242
12:00-13:59	1088	1108	1045
14:00-15:59	911	939	903
16:00-17:59	916	908	855
18:00-19:59	872	802	890
20:00-21:59	984	1012	989
22:00-23:59	1194	1192	1171
<b>Total</b>	<b>11944</b>	<b>11989</b>	<b>11876</b>




Day—6am to 2pm  
 Evening—2pm to 10pm  
 Night—10pm to 6am




## Drug Offenses By Type of Drug

	2012		2011		2010	
Cocaine/Crack Cocaine	881	6.3%	1189	8.7%	1183	8.8%
Marijuana/Hashish	7943	57.1%	8426	61.8%	8578	63.5%
Methamphetamines	2255	16.2%	1714	12.6%	1676	12.4%
Heroin	86	0.6%	71	0.5%	44	0.3%
LSD/PCP/Ecstasy/Etc	600	4.3%	334	2.5%	206	1.5%
Other	1413	10.2%	1214	8.9%	1115	8.3%
Unknown	737	5.3%	682	5.0%	706	5.2%
Total	13915		13630		13508	



## Drug Offenses Suspect: Age, Sex, Race



Age	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
0-9	3	0.0%	2	0.0%	7	0.0%
10-19	4061	25.6%	4220	26.3%	4310	26.9%
20-29	6312	39.8%	6346	39.6%	6433	40.1%
30-39	2885	18.2%	2758	17.2%	2673	16.7%
40-49	1578	10.0%	1608	10.0%	1601	10.0%
50-59	768	4.8%	806	5.0%	749	4.7%
60-69	122	0.8%	137	0.9%	128	0.8%
70+	12	0.1%	11	0.1%	11	0.1%
Unknown	110	0.7%	144	0.9%	130	0.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>15851</b>		<b>16032</b>		<b>16042</b>	

Gender	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Male	11926	75.2%	12145	75.8%	12167	75.8%
Female	3863	24.4%	3817	23.8%	3833	23.9%
Unknown	62	0.4%	70	0.4%	42	0.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>15851</b>		<b>16032</b>		<b>16042</b>	

Race	2012 % of Total		2011 % of Total		2010 % of Total	
Asian	119	0.8%	149	0.9%	120	0.7%
Black	3027	19.1%	3183	19.9%	3135	19.5%
Indian	100	0.6%	109	0.7%	103	0.6%
White	12503	78.9%	12497	78.0%	12613	78.6%
Unknown	102	0.6%	94	0.6%	71	0.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>15851</b>		<b>16032</b>		<b>16042</b>	


### FACTS:

- The typical drug suspect is a white male between the ages of 20-29.
- In 2012, 11.9% of known arson suspects were of Hispanic ethnicity.
- Charts listed below include only known suspects.


### Gender



### Race




### Age



## Drug Offenses: Premise Location

	2012		2011		2010	
Parking Lot	278	2.3%	392	3.3%	364	3.1%
City Street/Alley	4525	37.8%	4346	36.1%	4305	36.1%
Rural Road/Highway	1577	13.2%	1672	13.9%	1631	13.7%
Abandon Structure	13	0.1%	13	0.1%	15	0.1%
Single Residence	2186	18.3%	2361	19.6%	2392	20.1%
Duplex/Apartment	793	6.6%	860	7.1%	857	7.2%
Nursing Home/Hospital	77	0.6%	93	0.8%	61	0.5%
Dormitory	75	0.6%	63	0.5%	51	0.4%
College/University	62	0.5%	53	0.4%	32	0.3%
Public/Private School	434	3.6%	434	3.6%	417	3.5%
Church	15	0.1%	7	0.1%	8	0.1%
Govt Building/Jail	525	4.4%	542	4.5%	438	3.7%
Bank	7	0.1%	7	0.1%	16	0.1%
Drug Store/Dr Office	10	0.1%	22	0.2%	45	0.4%
Commercial Office	74	0.6%	54	0.4%	73	0.6%
Retail Store	499	4.2%	436	3.6%	460	3.9%
Tavern/Bar	63	0.5%	81	0.7%	88	0.7%
Restaurant	99	0.8%	67	0.6%	76	0.6%
Hotel/Motel/Inn	287	2.4%	187	1.6%	209	1.8%
Park Bldgs	183	1.5%	165	1.4%	148	1.2%
Rental Storage	10	0.1%	5	0.0%	6	0.1%
Lake/Fields	41	0.3%	52	0.4%	53	0.4%
Temporary Structure	9	0.1%	8	0.1%	10	0.1%
Recreational Facility	9	0.1%	10	0.1%	11	0.1%
Other	111	0.9%	106	0.9%	158	1.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>11962</b>		<b>12036</b>		<b>11924</b>	

	2012	2011	2010
<b>Public</b>	6614	6634	6515
<b>Residence</b>	3064	3300	3304
<b>Business</b>	2013	1825	1781
<b>Other</b>	271	277	324
<b>Total</b>	<b>11962</b>	<b>12036</b>	<b>11924</b>



Some agencies reported more than one premise code resulting in a higher number of premises reported than incidents.

## Law Enforcement Sworn Officers

2012		Sworn	Sworn	Total
	Agencies	Male	Female	Sworn
	Reporting	Officers	Officers	Officers
Sheriff's Office	95	1,806	203	2,009
City Police Dept	215	3,230	336	3,566
University Police	8	114	10	124
State Law Enforcement	8	785	35	820
<b>Totals</b>	<b>326</b>	<b>5,935</b>	<b>584</b>	<b>6,519</b>

2011		Sworn	Sworn	Total
	Agencies	Male	Female	Sworn
	Reporting	Officers	Officers	Officers
Sheriff's Office	93	1,896	207	2,103
City Police Dept	249	3,586	381	3,967
University Police	9	141	17	158
State Law Enforcement	7	791	32	823
<b>Totals</b>	<b>358</b>	<b>6,414</b>	<b>637</b>	<b>7,051</b>

2010		Sworn	Sworn	Total
	Agencies	Male	Female	Sworn
	Reporting	Officers	Officers	Officers
Sheriff's Office	97	1,944	201	2,145
City Police Dept	250	3,534	357	3,891
University Police	9	136	18	154
State Law Enforcement	8	815	36	851
<b>Totals</b>	<b>364</b>	<b>6,429</b>	<b>612</b>	<b>7,041</b>

